Appendix Q

Historic Environment

Historic Enviror	Historic Environment Policy Options							
Option 1	Identify Conservation Areas, Historic Parks and Gardens and Scheduled Ancient Monuments on the Policies Map and encourage the protection and enhancement of these areas. Provide encouragement for appropriate proposals to bring into use heritage assets that that are on the "at risk" register.							
Option 2	Do nothing							

Historic Environment	Policy Opt	tions							
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Effe	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social							•	•	•
S1. Achieve a better	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
balance and mix in the	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
housing market between	The approach	to the his	toric envir	onment is	unlikely to have	e a significa	nt impact upon achie	eving a better balance and mix in	the housing market and, as such, both options
availability and demand	are unlikely to	have a si	gnificant e	effect on th	e objective.				
S2. Improve accessibility	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
for all to essential services	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and facilities	The approach unlikely to have					e a significa	nt impact upon the a	accessibility of essential services	and facilities and, as such, both options are
S3. Enhance transport	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
infrastructure, improve	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	The approach have a signific				unlikely to have	e a significa	nt impact upon trans	sport infrastructure and choice of	travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely to
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and the fear of crime	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach significant imp				unlikely to have	a significa	nt impact upon crime	e, disorder and fear of crime. As s	such, both options are unlikely to have a
S5. Reduce poverty and	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
social exclusion	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach impact on the		toric envir	onment is	unlikely to have	a significa	nt impact upon pove	erty and social exclusion. As such	, both options are unlikely to have a significant
S6. Encourage a sense of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		

	Policy Opt	ions							
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
community identity and	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	would have th	e potentia	I to have a	a positive		bjective. Th	e alternative option		lace and community identity. As such, this option of encouragement the re-use of historic assets
S7. Improve qualifications	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and skills of the resident	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
population	The approach on the objectiv		toric envir	onment is	unlikely to have	e a significa	nt impact upon qual	ifications and skills. As such, both	options are unlikely to have a significant impact
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
of the population	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	opportunities	for sport a	nd/or recro	eation. Th	ere is however	only a low le	evel of certainty ove	r this impact as the protection of h	e some positive impact on health by providing historic parks and gardens does not guarantee
S9. Protect and improve					areas ist sport		ballon. By contract,	Option 2 would be unlikely to have	e any significant impact on the objective.
33. FIOLECL and improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		e any significant impact on the objective.
local neighbourhood	Option 1 Option 2	0 0							e any significant impact on the objective.
	Option 2	0 to the his	0 0	0 0	Medium Medium	N/A N/A	N/A N/A		both options are unlikely to have a significant
local neighbourhood	Option 2 The approach	0 to the his	0 0	0 0	Medium Medium	N/A N/A	N/A N/A		
local neighbourhood quality	Option 2 The approach	0 to the his	0 0	0 0	Medium Medium	N/A N/A	N/A N/A		
local neighbourhood quality Environment	Option 2 The approach impact on the Option 1 Option 2	0 to the his objective. 0 0	0 0 toric envir 0 0	0 0 onment is 0 0	Medium Medium unlikely to have Medium Medium	N/A N/A e a significan N/A N/A	N/A N/A nt impact upon local N/A N/A	I neighbourhood quality. As such,	both options are unlikely to have a significant
local neighbourhood quality Environment E1. Reduce the effect of	Option 2 The approach impact on the Option 1 Option 2	0 to the his objective. 0 0	0 0 toric envir 0 0	0 0 onment is 0 0	Medium Medium unlikely to have Medium Medium	N/A N/A e a significan N/A N/A	N/A N/A nt impact upon local N/A N/A	I neighbourhood quality. As such,	
local neighbourhood quality Environment E1. Reduce the effect of	Option 2 The approach impact on the Option 1 Option 2	0 to the his objective. 0 0	0 0 toric envir 0 0	0 0 onment is 0 0	Medium Medium unlikely to have Medium Medium	N/A N/A e a significan N/A N/A	N/A N/A nt impact upon local N/A N/A	I neighbourhood quality. As such,	both options are unlikely to have a significant
local neighbourhood quality Environment E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment E2. Protect, enhance and	Option 2 The approach impact on the Option 1 Option 2 The approach Option 1 Option 2	0 to the his objective. 0 to the his + 0	0 0 toric envir 0 toric envir + 0	0 onment is 0 0 onment is + 0	Medium Medium unlikely to have Medium unlikely to have Medium Medium	N/A N/A a significat N/A N/A a significat Locat	N/A N/A nt impact upon local N/A N/A nt impact upon traffi Long term N/A	I neighbourhood quality. As such, c. As such, both options are unlike Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	both options are unlikely to have a significant
local neighbourhood quality Environment E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-	Option 2 The approach impact on the Option 1 Option 2 The approach Option 1 Option 2 Option 1	0 to the his objective. 0 0 to the his + 0 des protec	0 0 toric envir 0 toric envir + 0 ction to Hi	0 onment is 0 0 onment is + 0 storic Parl	Medium Medium unlikely to have Medium unlikely to have Medium Medium ks and Gardens	N/A N/A a significat N/A a significat Locat N/A and encourt	N/A N/A nt impact upon local N/A N/A t impact upon traffi Long term N/A rages the enhancen	c. As such, both options are unlike Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	both options are unlikely to have a significant ely to have a significant impact on the objective.
local neighbourhood quality Environment E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and	Option 2 The approach impact on the Option 1 Option 2 The approach Option 1 Option 2 Option 1 provi effect on the c	0 to the his objective. 0 0 to the his + 0 des protective o	0 toric envir 0 toric envir + 0 ction to Hi f protectin	0 onment is 0 0 onment is + 0 storic Parl g and enh	Medium Medium unlikely to have Medium unlikely to have Medium Medium ks and Gardens hancing open sp	N/A N/A a significat N/A a significat Local N/A a and encourt bace and court	N/A N/A nt impact upon local N/A N/A t impact upon traffi Long term N/A rages the enhancen	I neighbourhood quality. As such, c. As such, both options are unlike Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area. hent of these areas. The policy the tive effect on the sub-objective of	both options are unlikely to have a significant
local neighbourhood quality Environment E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-	Option 2 The approach impact on the Option 1 Option 2 The approach Option 1 Option 2 Option 1 provi effect on the c	0 to the his objective. 0 0 to the his + 0 des protective o	0 toric envir 0 toric envir + 0 ction to Hi f protectin	0 onment is 0 0 onment is + 0 storic Parl g and enh	Medium Medium unlikely to have Medium unlikely to have Medium Medium ks and Gardens hancing open sp	N/A N/A a significat N/A a significat Local N/A a and encourt bace and court	N/A N/A nt impact upon local N/A N/A t impact upon traffi Long term N/A rages the enhancen uld also have a posi	I neighbourhood quality. As such, c. As such, both options are unlike Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area. hent of these areas. The policy the tive effect on the sub-objective of	both options are unlikely to have a significant ely to have a significant impact on the objective.

Historic Environment	Policy Opt	tions							
		Т	imescal	e			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
	The approach impact on the		toric envir	onment is	•	e a significa		ributions to climate change. As s	uch, both options are unlikely to have a significant
E4. Reduce impact of	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
climate change	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach significant imp				unlikely to have	e a significa	nt impact upon redu	icing the impacts of climate chang	ge. As such, both options are unlikely to have a
E5. Reduce the	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
environmental impacts of	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
consumption and						a significa	nt direct impact upo	n the environmental impacts of c	onsumption and production. As such, both options
production	are unlikely to	have a si	gnificant ir	mpact on t	he objective.				
E6. Conserve land	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
resources and reduce land	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
contamination	The approach significant imp				unlikely to have	e a significa	nt impact upon land	resources and contamination. As	s such, both options are unlikely to have a
E7. Protect and improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
water quality	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach objective.	to the his	toric envir	onment is	unlikely to have	e a significa	nt impact upon wate	er quality. As such, both options a	are unlikely to have a significant impact on the
E8. Protect and improve air	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
quality	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach objective.	to the his	toric envir	onment is	unlikely to have	e a significa	nt impact upon air q	uality. As such, both options are	unlikely to have a significant impact on the
E9. Protect and enhance	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	More	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense	
the diversity and	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	than	Long term	of place and perceptions of	
distinctiveness of	-					local	-	the area.	
landscape and townscape									and would also encourage appropriate proposals
character and cultural									najor positive impact on the objective and its sub-
facilities									and gardens. By contrast, Option 2 would not
	afford the sam potential to ha					de encoura	gement for the re-u	se of heritage assets included on	the 'at risk' register. Option 2 therefore has the

Historic Environment	Policy Opt	ions							
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Economic	•					-	•	•	
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job	
high performance and	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A	creation and deprivation.	
sustainable economy to	Protecting and	d enhancir	ng building	is and fea	tures of historic	interest cou	Ild help create more	e attractive places to live and inve	st and could therefore have a positive impact or
provide a powerful									there is only a low level of certainty about this
contribution to regional		recognise	d that the	re are a ra	ange of factors t	hat influenc	e investment decisio	ons. By contrast, Option 2 would I	be unlikely to have any significant impact on the
growth	objective.				1	1	ſ		
EC2. Reducing disparities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
by releasing the potential	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
of all residents particularly		to the his	toric envir	onment is	unlikely to have	e a significa	nt impact upon redu	icing disparities. As such, both op	tions are unlikely to have a significant impact or
in areas of disadvantage	the objective.				1	1	ſ		
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
image as a tourism	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
destination					unlikely to have	e a significa	nt impact upon Traff	ford's image as a tourism destinat	tion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a
	significant imp	pact on the	objective		1	1	ſ		
EC4. Encourage the long	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job	
term sustainability of	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A	creation and deprivation.	
Trafford's Town Centres									Is that bring into use heritage assets that are
									Trafford that are identified as being 'at risk' by
									adjacent to Altrincham town centre.
								entre and therefore help to suppor	t the long term sustainability of this centre. By
				•	any significant	· ·		1	
EC5. Improve the social	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and environmental	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
performance of the							nt impact upon the s	social and environmental performa	ance of Trafford's economy. As such, both
economy	options are un	likely to h	ave a sigr	inicant im	pact on the obje	ctive.			
Sustainability Summary									

	Option	Т	imescal	е			Nature of Effe		
SA Objective		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
encouraging the appropriate re objectives concerned with prot	e-use of heritage ecting and enha to health; oper	assets th ancing cor space; a	at are incl nservation nd commu	uded on t areas an	he 'at risk' regis d protecting and	ter, this opti d enhancing	ion could have a ma g registered historic	jor positive impact on the objectiv parks and gardens. Option 1 wor	on and enhancement of heritage assets and b re relating to townscape character and on its sul uld also have the potential to have some positive t on the objective relating to townscape character
assets in these areas and wou	ld also seek to	protect an	d enhance	e Conserv	ation Areas. Th	is option co	ould therefore have a	a positive impact on perceptions of	Deprime the provided encourage the re-use of histories of Altrincham town centre and help to support the and invest and could therefore also have some

assets in these areas and would also seek to protect and enhance Conservation Areas. This option could therefore have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre and help to support the long term sustainability of this centre. Protecting and enhancing buildings and features of historic interest could help create more attractive places to live and invest and could therefore also have some positive impact on the objective relating to Trafford's economic performance. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions. Option 2 would be unlikely to have a significant impact on either of these objectives.

		Key fo	r effects		
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain

HE1 – Cor	nservation Areas
Option 1	Identify Conservation Areas as defined by the UDP
Option 2	Identify Conservation Areas as defined by the UDP and extend nine of these Conservation Areas

Policy HE1 – Conserv	vation Area	S							
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Effe	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social	•						•		
S1. Achieve a better	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
balance and mix in the	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
housing market between	Extending Co	nservation	Areas co	uld place :	some additional	restrictions	on development in	certain parts of the Borough. New	ertheless, the proposed extensions to the
availability and demand									hat both options are unlikely to have a significant
	impact upon a	chieving a	a better ba	lance and	I mix in the hous	sing market	and, as such, both	options are unlikely to have a sigr	nificant effect on the objective.
S2. Improve accessibility	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
for all to essential services	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and facilities			y to have a	a significa	nt impact upon	the accessil	bility of essential ser	vices and facilities and, as such,	both options are unlikely to have a significant
	effect on the c	bjective.							
S3. Enhance transport	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
infrastructure, improve	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
choice of travel mode and			y to have a	a significa	nt impact upon [·]	transport inf	frastructure and cho	ice of travel mode. As such, both	options are unlikely to have a significant impact
quality of life to all	on the objective	ve.							
communities.		-				1	1		
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and the fear of crime	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
		are unlikely	y to have a	a significa				e. As such, both options are unlik	ely to have a significant impact on the objective.
S5. Reduce poverty and	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
social exclusion	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options a	are unlikely	y to have a	a significa	nt impact upon	poverty and	social exclusion. As	s such, both options are unlikely t	o have a significant impact on the objective.
S6. Encourage a sense of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
community identity and	Option 2	+	+	+	High	Local	Long term		

Policy HE1 – Conserv	vation Area	S							
		Т	imescal	e			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
welfare and value	Both options w	vould seel	to retain	the chara	cter and quality	of Conserva	ation Areas and wou	uld therefore provide protection to	historic buildings and features that can provide
diversity, improve equity	areas with a s	ense of pl	ace and s	trengthen	community ider	ntity. Both op	otions therefore hav	e the potential to have some posi	tive impact on the objective. By providing a
and equality of opportunity	greater level of	of protectic	on to addit	ional histo	oric buildings an	d features, t	here is a greater lev	vel of certainty that Option 2 would	d have a positive impact on this objective.
S7. Improve qualifications	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and skills of the resident	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
population	Both options a	are unlikely	y to have a	a significa	nt impact upon	qualification	s and skills. As sucl	h, both options are unlikely to hav	e a significant impact on the objective.
S8. Improve the health	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and, inequalities in health	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
of the population	Both options a	are unlikely	y to have a	a significa	nt impact upon	health. As s	uch, both options a	re unlikely to have a significant im	pact on the objective.
S9. Protect and improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
local neighbourhood	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
quality	Both options a	are unlikely	y to have a	any signifi	cant impact upo	on local neig	hbourhood quality.	As such, both options are unlikely	to have a significant impact on the objective.
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
traffic on the environment	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options a	are unlikely	y to have a	a significa	nt impact upon	traffic. As sι	uch, both options are	e unlikely to have a significant imp	pact on the objective.
E2. Protect, enhance and	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
restore open space,	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
biodiversity, flora and	Both options a	are unlikely	y to have a	a significa	nt impact upon	biodiversity,	flora or fauna. As s	such, both options are unlikely to h	have a significant impact on the objective.
fauna, geological and geo-									
morphological features								1	
E3. Reduce contributions	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
to climate change	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
			y to have a	Ŧ				. As such, both options are unlike	y to have a significant impact on the objective.
E4. Reduce impact of	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
climate change	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options a objective.	are unlikely	y to have a	a significa	nt impact upon	reducing the	e impacts of climate	change. As such, both options ar	e unlikely to have a significant impact on the
E5. Reduce the	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
environmental impacts of	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
-		-	-	•				•	·

Policy HE1 – Conserv	ation Area	S							
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
consumption and	Both options a	are unlikely	/ to have a	a significa	nt direct impact	upon the er	vironmental impact	s of consumption and production.	As such, both options are unlikely to have a
production	significant imp	act on the	objective						
E6. Conserve land	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
resources and reduce land	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
contamination	Both options a	are unlikely	/ to have a	a significa	nt impact upon	land resourd	ces and contaminati	on. As such, both options are unl	ikely to have a significant impact on the objective.
E7. Protect and improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
water quality	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options a	are unlikely	/ to have a	a significa	nt impact upon	water quality	y. As such, both opt	tions are unlikely to have a signific	cant impact on the objective.
E8. Protect and improve air	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
quality	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	Both options a	are unlikely	/ to have a	a significa	nt impact upon	air quality. A	s such, both option	s are unlikely to have a significan	t impact on the objective.
E9. Protect and enhance	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	More	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense	
the diversity and	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	than	Long term	of place and perceptions of	
distinctiveness of						local		the area.	
landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	areas to be of potential to ha the protection recognised th	a high sta ive a majo of a great at incorpor	ndard and r positive er numbe ating histo	d states th impact on r of histori oric buildir	at buildings of c the objective a c buildings, feat	quality within nd its sub-ol cures and to nservation A	these areas should bjective relating to p wnscapes it has the rea may make it ea	d be retained and, where approprior protecting and enhancing conserver potential to have a more signification potential to have a more signification potential potential potential to have a more signif	ervation Areas requires development in these iate, improved. Both options therefore have the ation areas. However, as option 2 would lead to ant impact on the objective. In addition, it is and enhance the historic asset. Accordingly,
Economic		1				ī			
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job	
high performance and	Option 2	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	creation and prosperity.	
sustainable economy to									ertheless, the extensions proposed to each of
provide a powerful									ore unlikely to significantly affect economic
contribution to regional									ces to live and invest and could therefore have a
growth	is recognised	t on the ol that there	ojective. A are a rand	s such, bo ge of facto	oth options coul	a nave som e investmen	e positive impact or t decisions.	n the objective. I here is however	only a low level of certainty over this impact as it
EC2. Reducing disparities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

		Т	imesca	е			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
by releasing the potential	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Both options a	are unlikely	y to have a	a significa	nt impact upon i	reducing dis	sparities. As such, b	oth options are unlikely to have a	significant impact on the objective.
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
image as a tourism	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
destination	Both options a objective.	are unlikely	y to have a	a significa	nt impact upon	Trafford's in	nage as a tourism d	lestination. As such, both options	are unlikely to have a significant impact on the
EC4. Encourage the long	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job	
term sustainability of	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	creation and deprivation.	
EC5. Improve the social	area. Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		ts and enhances the character and quality of th
and environmental	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
performance of the					nt impact upon t	the social ar	nd environmental pe	erformance of Trafford's economy	. As such, both options are unlikely to have a
economy	significant imp	pact on the	objective						
Sustainability Summary									
within the Borough and would Both options therefore have th However, as option 2 would le	require new de ne potential to h ad to the protect	velopment have a ma	t in these ijor positiv greater nu	areas to b ve impact umber of h	be of a high star on the objective historic buildings	ndard and v e relating to s, features a	vould require buildir townscape charac and townscapes, it l	ngs of quality within these areas cter and some positive impact or has the potential to have a more	would identify and protect 21 Conservation Are to be retained and, where appropriate, improve the objective that relates to community identi significant impact on the objective that relates
conscape character and there Given that a significant propo	U U				·		rea, both options c		t on the objective of encouraging the long te

Neither option would have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.

Policy HE2 – Heritage	e Assets							
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect	
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social							•	
S1. Achieve a better	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	The policy is on the object		have a sign	ificant impact up	oon achieving	a better balance an	d mix in the housing market. The policy	is therefore unlikely to have a significant effect
S2. Improve accessibility	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
for all to essential services and facilities	The policy is on the object		have any in	npact significant	upon accessi	bility for all to esser	tial services and facilities and the polic	y is therefore unlikely to have a significant impact
S3. Enhance transport	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	The policy is objective.	s unlikely to	have any si	gnificant impact	upon transpo	rt infrastructure and	choice of travel mode. As such, it is un	likely to have a significant impact on the
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and the fear of crime	The policy is	s unlikely to	have any si	gnificant impact	upon crime, c	lisorder and fear of	crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a s	significant impact on the objective.
S5. Reduce poverty and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
social exclusion	The policy is	s unlikely to	have any in	npact upon pove	erty and social	exclusion. As such	, it is unlikely to have a significant impa	ct on the objective.
S6. Encourage a sense of	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity		ection to his	storic buildin	igs and features				e identified on the 'at risk' register, the policy will identity. The policy therefore has the potential to
S7. Improve qualifications	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and skills of the resident population	The policy is	s unlikely to	have any in	npact upon qual	fications and	skills. As such, it is	unlikely to have a significant impact on	the objective.
S8. Improve the health	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
and, inequalities in health								s for sport and/or recreation and the protection of
of the population								r this impact as the protection of historic parks
	and gardens	s does not g	uarantee th	at local resident		o use these areas f	or sport and/or recreation.	
S9. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

Policy HE2 – Heritage	Assets											
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
local neighbourhood	The policy is	s unlikely to	have any si	gnificant impact	upon local ne	ighbourhood quality	y. As such, it is unlikely to have a signif	cant impact on the objective.				
quality												
Environment												
E1. Reduce the effect of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
traffic on the environment	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact u	oon traffic. As	such, it is unlikely to	o have a significant impact on the objec	tive.				
E2. Protect, enhance and	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health and					
restore open space,							well-being					
biodiversity, flora and								therefore has the potential to have some positive				
fauna, geological and geo-		•	f protecting	and enhancing	open space a	nd could also have	a positive effect on the sub-objective o	f ensuring residents have access to high quality				
morphological features	open space	1	-	I			Γ					
E3. Reduce contributions	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
to climate change		By encouraging the re-use of buildings, the policy could have some positive impact on carbon emissions by reducing the need to construct new buildings. Nevertheless, it is considered that the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon contributions to climate change. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
E4. Reduce impact of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
climate change	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact u	oon reducing t	he impacts of clima	te change. As such, it is unlikely to hav	e a significant impact on the objective.				
E5. Reduce the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
environmental impacts of consumption and production	The policy is objective.	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact u	oon the enviro	nmental impacts of	consumption and production. As such,	it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the				
E6. Conserve land	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
resources and reduce land	By encoura	ging the re-u	se of buildi	ngs, the policy of	ould reduce th	ne need to release g	preenfield sites to meet the Borough's h	ousing needs. Nevertheless, it is considered that				
contamination	the re-use o	f these build	lings is unlil	kely to make a s	ignificant cont	ribution to the overa	all development needs of Trafford and,	as such, is unlikely to have a significant upon				
	land resource	ces and cont	amination.									
E7. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
water quality	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact or	n water quality	. As such, it is unlik	ely to have a significant impact on the	objective.				
E8. Protect and improve air	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
quality	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a s <mark>i</mark> gn	ificant impact or	n air quality. A	s such, it is unlikely	to have a significant impact on the obj	ective.				
E9. Protect and enhance	++	++	++	Medium	More than	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of					
the diversity and					local	-	place and perceptions of the area.					

Policy HE2 – Heritage	Assets												
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect						
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation					
distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities Economic	included on English Heri	The policy provides protection to the Historic Parks and Gardens in the Borough and also encourages appropriate proposals that bring into use heritage assets that are included on the 'at risk' register. As noted in the supporting text to the policy, there are presently five conservation areas in Trafford that are identified as being 'at risk' by English Heritage. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective and its sub-objectives relating to protecting and enhancing conservation areas and protecting and enhancing registered historic parks and gardens.											
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and deprivation.						
sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth		Protecting and enhancing buildings and features of historic interest could help create more attractive places to live and invest and could therefore have a positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions.											
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	O O Medium N/A N/A The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective. Image: Control of the objective impact on the objective impact on the objective.												
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	0 The policy is	0 s unlikely to	0 have a sign	Medium ificant impact or	N/A n Trafford's im	N/A age as a tourism de	stination. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.					
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on job creation and deprivation.						
Trafford's Town Centres	the policy, the Place, Geor	The policy provides encouragement for appropriate proposals that bring into use heritage assets that are included on the 'at risk' register. As noted in the supporting text to the policy, there are presently five conservation areas in Trafford that are identified as being 'at risk' by English Heritage. Three of these conservation areas (Old Market Place, George Street and Stamford New Road) are within/adjacent to Altrincham town centre. Consequently, encouraging the re-use of historic assets in these areas could have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre and therefore help to support the long term sustainability of this centre.											
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	0	0 s unlikely to	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		uch, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on					
Sustainability Summary													

Policy HE2 – Heritage Assets											
	Timescale Nature of Effect										
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Mitigation					
The policy relating to heritage assets would have no significant impact on the overwhelming majority of the objectives. By providing protection to the Historic Parks and Gardens and encouraging appropriate proposals that bring into use heritage assets that are included on the 'at risk' register, the policy could however have a major positive impact on the objective relating to townscape character and on its sub-objectives concerned with protecting and enhancing conservation areas and protecting and enhancing registered historic parks and gardens. The protection afforded to Historic Parks and Gardens and the support for the enhancement of these areas mean that the policy would also have the potential to have some positive effect on the objectives relating to health; open space; and community welfare.											
There are five conservation areas in Trafford that are identified as being 'at risk' by English Heritage, three of which are within/adjoin Altrincham town centre. Consequently, encouraging the re-use of historic assets in these areas could have a positive impact on perceptions of Altrincham town centre and therefore help to support the long term sustainability of this centre. Protecting and enhancing buildings and features of historic interest could help create more attractive places to live and invest and could therefore also have some positive impact on the objective relating to Trafford's economic performance. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as it is recognised that there are a range of factors that influence investment decisions.											

		Key fo	r effects		
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain

Policy HE3 – Archaec	logical Fe	eatures										
	Т	imescale				Nature of Ef	ffect					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
Social	-	-			-							
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	0 Identifying V market. The	0 Vatch Hill So policy is the	0 cheduled An erefore unlik	Medium ncient Monumer cely to have a si	N/A t on the Polic gnificant effec	N/A les Map is unlikely to t on the objective.	o have a significant impact upon achiev	ing a better balance and mix in the housing				
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities				Medium ncient Monumer o have a signifio			ave any impact significant upon access	sibility for all to essential services and facilities				
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.		O O Medium N/A N/A Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map would not have any significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0 Identifying V to have a sig				N/A t on the Polic	N/A es Map would not h	ave any significant impact upon crime,	disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely				
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0 Identifying V significant ir			Medium ncient Monumer	N/A t on the Polic	N/A les Map would not h	ave any impact upon poverty and socia	al exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a				
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	0 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map would not have any impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective											
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	0 Identifying V impact on th		0 cheduled An	Medium Incient Monumer	N/A t on the Polic	N/A ies Map would not h	ave any impact upon qualifications and	skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant				
S8. Improve the health	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						

Policy HE3 – Archaec	ological Fe	eatures											
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect						
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation					
and, inequalities in health of the population	Identifying V objective.	Identifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map would not have any impact upon health. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											
S9. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
local neighbourhood	Identifying V	Vatch Hill So	cheduled An	icient Monumen	t on the Polici	es Map would not h	ave any impact upon local neighbourho	ood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a					
quality	significant in	npact on the	e objective.										
Environment													
E1. Reduce the effect of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
traffic on the environment		dentifying Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the Policies Map is unlikely to have a significant impact upon traffic. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											
E2. Protect, enhance and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
restore open space,					t on the Polici	es Map is unlikely to	o have a significant impact upon open s	pace or biodiversity. As such, it is unlikely to					
biodiversity, flora and	have a signi	ficant impac	t on the obj	ective.									
fauna, geological and geo-													
morphological features	0	0	0	Maalium	N/A	N/A							
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	0	0 Vatab Hill Sc	0	Medium			have a aignificant impact upon contrib	utions to climate change. As such, it is unlikely to					
to chinate change	have a signi				t on the Polici	es map is unlikely to	o have a significant impact upon contrib	buttons to climate change. As such, it is unlikely to					
E4. Reduce impact of	0			Medium	N/A	N/A							
climate change	•	-	•		,		l o have a significant impact upon reduci	ng the impacts of climate change. As such, it is					
onnato onango				on the objective									
E5. Reduce the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
environmental impacts of	Identifying V	Vatch Hill So	cheduled An	cient Monumen	t on the Propo	sals Map is unlikely	to have a significant impact upon the	environmental impacts of consumption and					
consumption and				have a significa			5 1 1						
production				-		-							
E6. Conserve land	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
resources and reduce land	Identifying V	Vatch Hill So	cheduled An	icient Monumen	t on the Polici	es Map is unlikely to	o have a significant upon land resource	s and contamination. As such, it is unlikely to					
contamination	have a signi		,, ,										
E7. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
water quality				icient Monumen	t on the Polici	es Map is unlikely to	o have a significant impact on water qu	ality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant					
	impact on th	ie objective.											

Policy HE3 – Archaeo	logical Fe	eatures						
	Т	imescale	ļ.			Nature of E	ffect	
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E8. Protect and improve air quality	0 Identifying V impact on th		0 cheduled An	Medium Icient Monumen	N/A It on the Polici	N/A es Map is unlikely to	o have a significant impact on air quality	y. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities							Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area. support the protection of this designated ing sites of archaeological importance.	archaeological asset. The policy therefore has
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth				Medium icient Monumen pact on the obje		N/A es Map is unlikely to	o have a significant impact on Trafford's	s economic performance and economy. As such,
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0 Identifying V have a signi				N/A t on the Polici	N/A es Map is unlikely to	o have a significant impact on reducing	economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination				Medium icient Monumen on the objective		N/A es Map is unlikely to	o have a significant impact on Trafford's	s image as a tourism destination. As such, it is
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	0 Identifying V	0 Vatch Hill So	0 cheduled An	Medium	N/A t on the Polici	N/A es Map is unlikely to	o have a significant impact on the susta	inability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy				Medium Icient Monumen nave a significar			o have a significant impact on the socia	I and environmental performance of Trafford's

	Timescale Nature of Effect										
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
Sustainability Summary The proposed policy relating to archaeological features would have no significant impact on the overwhelming majority of the objectives. The identification of Watch Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument on the											
Policies Map is likely to support the protection of this designated archaeological asset. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to protecting and enhancing sites of archaeological importance.											

The policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.

		Key for	r effects		
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain

Appendix R

Natural Environment

NE1 – Natural Er	NE1 – Natural Environment Assets									
Option 1	Carry forward all the separate allocations from the UDP									
Option 2	Include a policy to identify and allocate all assets by a single allocation "Natural Assets" but which grades assets into National (SSSIs) Regional/ County (Grade A SBIs) and Local (Grade B and C SBI, Local Nature Reserves, woodlands) to determine hierarchy of importance with more strategic, linear assets being protected under Core Strategy policy R3.									

NE1 – Natural Enviror	nment Asse	ets										
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Effe	ect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
Social		•							•			
S1. Achieve a better	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
balance and mix in the	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
housing market between								nt impact upon achieving a better	balance and mix in the housing market and, as			
availability and demand	such, both opt	tions are u	nlikely to	have a sig	nificant effect o	n the object						
S2. Improve accessibility	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
for all to essential services	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
and facilities	The approach	to the pro	tection of	natural er	vironment asse	ets is unlikel	y to have a significa	nt impact upon access to service	s and facilities and, as such, both options are			
		unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.										
S3. Enhance transport	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
infrastructure, improve	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
choice of travel mode and							y to have a significa	nt impact upon transport infrastru	cture and choice of travel mode. As such, both			
quality of life to all	options are ur	likely to h	ave a sigr	ificant imp	pact on the obje	ctive.						
communities.	Ontion 1	0	0	0	Maaliuma	NI/A	N1/A	[
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	Option 1 Option 2	0	0	0	Medium Medium	N/A N/A	N/A N/A					
and the leaf of chine		Ŭ	•	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l nt import upon orimo, disordar ar	l nd fear of crime. As such, both options are			
	unlikely to have						y to have a significa	nt impact upon chine, disorder al	in lear of chine. As such, both options are			
S5. Reduce poverty and	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
social exclusion	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
	The approach have a signific				vironment asse	ets is unlikel	y to have a significa	nt impact upon poverty and socia	I exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to			
S6. Encourage a sense of	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					

NE1 – Natural Enviro	nment Asse	ets								
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Eff	ect		
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation	
community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	Option 2 The approach to have a sign					N/A ets is unlikely	N/A y to have a significa	nt impact upon community identit	y and welfare. As such, both options are unlikely	
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	Option 1 Option 2 The approach a significant ir				Medium Medium nvironment asse	N/A N/A ets is unlikely	N/A N/A y to have a significa	Int impact upon qualifications and	skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have	
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	Option 1 Option 2 The approach impact on the		0 0 tection of	0 0 natural er	Medium Medium nvironment asse	N/A N/A ets is unlikely	N/A N/A y to have a significa	nt impact upon health. As such, b	poth options are unlikely to have a significant	
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	Option 1 Option 2 The approach have a signific				Medium Medium nvironment asse	N/A N/A ets is unlikely	N/A N/A y to have a significa	Int impact upon local neighbourhc	ood quality. As such, both options are unlikely to	
Environment										
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1 Option 2 The approach impact on the	•	0 0 tection of	0 0 natural er	Medium Medium nvironment asse	N/A N/A ets is unlikel	N/A N/A y to have a significa	nt impact upon traffic. As such, b	oth options are unlikely to have a significant	
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-	Option 1 Option 2	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	Medium Medium	Borough wide Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the Borough		
morphological features										

NE1 – Natural Enviro	nment Asse	ets									
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Eff	ect			
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation		
E3. Reduce contributions	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts			
to climate change	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	associated with climate change			
		ce contrib	utions to c						of woodland could have a beneficial impact on s such, both options have the potential to have a		
E4. Reduce impact of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term				
climate change	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term				
	Both options would lead to the protection of areas of woodland, waterbodies, trees and other areas of greenfield land. As such, both options could have a positive impact on reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and also reduce surface water run-off. Both options therefore have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.										
E5. Reduce the	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
environmental impacts of	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
consumption and production	The approach unlikely to hav					ets is unlikely	y to have a significa	int impact upon land resources an	d contamination. As such, both options are		
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term				
contamination	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term				
								eking to resist development that w act on the conservation of land re	ould adversely affect these assets, both options sources.		
E7. Protect and improve	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on			
water quality	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	biodiversity			
					designated nat e objective of p			ber of which include waterbodies	and watercourses. Both options therefore have		
E8. Protect and improve air	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health			
quality	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term				
								nd. The protection of these areas of ons could have a positive impact of	of woodland could have a beneficial impact on air on the objective.		
E9. Protect and enhance	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on			

landscape and townscape t	the Local Natu	0-5 years + /ould lead ire Conser		10+ years +	Certainty Medium	Scale	Nature of Effe Permanence	Secondary, cumulative,	Mitigation		
the diversity and distinctiveness of E landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Option 2 Both options w the Local Natu	years + vould lead ire Conser	years + to the pro	years +	Medium		Permanence	-	Mitigation		
distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Both options w the Local Natu	ould lead						synergistic			
Economic	Option 2 + + Medium Local Long term perceptions of the Borough Both options would lead to the protection of natural environment assets, a number of which contribute to the landscape character of the Borough. In addition, a number of the Local Nature Conservation Sites that would be protected include historic parks and gardens. Consequently, both options have the potential to have some positive effect on the objective of protecting landscape and townscape character.										
						T	r				
	Option 1 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Option 2 0 0 Medium N/A N/A The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
EC2. Reducing disparities	Option 1 Option 2	0	0	0	Medium Medium	N/A N/A	N/A N/A				
of all residents particularly		to the pro	tection of	natural er				nt impact upon reducing disparitie	s. As such, both options are unlikely to have a		
						N/A N/A ets is unlikely	N/A N/A y to have a significa	nt impact upon Trafford's image a	s a tourism destination. As such, both options		
	are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective. Option 1 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Option 2 0 0 Medium N/A N/A The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective										
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the	are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective. Option 1 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Option 2 0 0 Medium N/A N/A The approach to the protection of natural environment assets is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										

		Ti	imescal	e			Nature of Effe		
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	Mitigation					
hange. Both options would le ave a major positive impact o Both options would lead to the	ad to the protect n the objective re protection of ar	tion of des elating to b reas of tre	ignated na biodiversit es and ar	atural envi y. eas of woo	ronment assets odland, includin	s and would	I therefore help to m Noodland. The prote	aintain a variety of habitat types ection of these features could hel	pjectives, particularly those that relate to climat across the Borough. As such, both options wou p to remove pollutants from the atmosphere ar tion afforded to areas of woodland, waterbodie

Neither option would have a negative or an uncertain impact on any of the sustainability objectives.

		Key fo	r effects		
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain

NE2 – Landscape Cha	aracter Ar	eas											
	T	imescale				Nature of E	ffect						
SA Objective	0-5	5-10	10+	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative,	Mitigation					
	years	years	years				synergistic						
Social					-	-	-						
S1. Achieve a better	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
balance and mix in the								of development taking place in these areas and,					
housing market between	as such, the	policy is un	likely to hav	e a significant i	mpact upon th	e provision of housi	ing. Consequently, the policy is unlikely	to have a significant impact on the objective.					
availability and demand													
S2. Improve accessibility	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
for all to essential services	The policy is	ne policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon access to services and facilities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											
and facilities													
S3. Enhance transport	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
infrastructure, improve	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a signi	ificant impact up	oon access tra	ansport infrastructure	e and choice of travel mode. As such, it	is unlikely to have a significant impact on the					
choice of travel mode and	objective.												
quality of life to all													
communities.													
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
and the fear of crime	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a signi				me. As such, it is unlikely to have a sig	nificant impact on the objective.					
S5. Reduce poverty and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
social exclusion	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a signi	ificant impact up	oon poverty ar	nd social exclusion.	As such, it is unlikely to have a significa	ant impact on the objective.					
S6. Encourage a sense of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
community identity and	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a signi	ificant impact up	oon communit	y identity and welfar	e. As such, it is unlikely to have a signi	ficant impact on the objective.					
welfare and value													
diversity, improve equity													
and equality of opportunity													
S7. Improve qualifications	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
and skills of the resident	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a signi	ificant impact up	oon qualificatio	ons and skills. As su	ich, it is unlikely to have a significant im	pact on the objective.					
population													
S8. Improve the health	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
and, inequalities in health of the population	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a signi	ficant impact up	oon health. As	such, it is unlikely t	o have a significant impact on the object	ctive.					
S9. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							

NE2 – Landscape Cha	aracter Ar	eas									
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
local neighbourhood quality	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon local neigl	nbourhood quality.	As such, it is unlikely to have a significa	nt impact on the objective.			
Environment											
E1. Reduce the effect of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
traffic on the environment	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon traffic. As	such, it is unlikely to	o have a significant impact on the objec	tive.			
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space,	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.				
biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	The policy provides protection for key landscape character areas in the Borough. These areas contain a range of habitats, including woodland, hedgerows and river valleys. The policy will therefore provide protection to a number of habitats and it also specifies that any development proposals in these areas will be assessed against the impact they would have on features of importance to wildlife. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have some positive effect on the objective and its sub-objective of conserving and enhancing the natural environment.										
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change				
	have some l	The policy provides protection for a series of landscape areas. A number of these are characterised by areas of woodland and the protection of these wooded areas could have some beneficial impact upon contributions to climate change by resulting in the sequestration of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.									
E4. Reduce impact of	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term					
climate change	woodland. V and could th	Vhilst the po herefore prov	licy does no vide protecti	ot preclude all de ion to areas hav	evelopment in e the potentia a positive impa	these areas, it would to provide shade, a act on the objective	Id afford some protection to the key fea	ber of which are characterised by areas of tures that contribute to the character of the area Ip reduce levels of surface water run-off. risk of flooding.			
E5. Reduce the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
environmental impacts of consumption and production	The policy is objective.	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon the enviro	nmental impacts of	consumption and production. As such,	it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the			
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term					
contamination							ominantly of greenfield land. Whilst the of conserving land resources.	policy does not preclude all development in			
E7. Protect and improve	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity				

NE2 – Landscape Cha	aracter Ar	eas										
	Т	imescale)			Nature of E	ffect					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
water quality	watercourse	es. Whilst the	e policy doe	s not preclude a	all developmer	nt in these areas, by	ominantly of greenfield land. Several of providing protection to the key features ficant impact on the objective.	these areas contain rivers and other s that contribute to the character of these areas				
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly amongst those who suffer from respiratory illnesses.					
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area					
distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	The policy seeks to preserve the key landscape character areas as set out by the Landscape Assessment of the Borough's open land areas. The policy would therefore protect the key landscapes that that make a major contribution to the character of the Borough and specifies that any development proposals in these areas will be assessed against the impact they would have on landscape quality. The protection of these landscape character areas could also have a positive impact on the setting of a number of designated heritage assets. The policy would therefore have a major positive impact on the objective.											
Economic	•			•		•••••						
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth		s unlikely to	have a sign				nce and economy. As such, it is unlikely	y to have a significant impact on the objective.				
EC2. Reducing disparities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term						
image as a tourism destination							for Dunham Hall, which is one of Traffo could therefore have a positive impact	ord's key tourism assets. Consequently, the				

NE2 – Landscape Character Areas											
	Т	imescale				Nature of Ef	fect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ficant impact up	oon the sustai	nability of Trafford's	town centres. As such, it is unlikely to I	nave a significant impact on the objective.			
EC5. Improve the social	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
and environmental	• •	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact									
performance of the economy	on the objective.										
Sustainability Summary											
The policy has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, preserve the key landscape character areas of the Borough and potentially protecting the setting of a number											
of designated heritage assets,								and potentially protocally the setting of a number			
0 0 <i>i</i>	1 5	I		, ,	I						
								cter areas in the Borough. These areas contain a			
								to biodiversity; conserving land resources; water			
						areas the policy co	uld also have a major positive effect or	n the objective of reducing the impacts of climate			
change by helping to moderate	nigner summ	ier temperat	ures and re	duce sufface wa	ater run-off.						
The policy would provide prote	ction for the w	ooded estat	te land that	forms the settine	a for Dunham	Hall. which is one o	f Trafford's kev tourism assets. Consec	quently, the policy also has the potential to have a			
positive impact on the objective						-,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
· · ·	-										
The proposed policy would not	have a negat	ive or uncer	tain impact	on any of the ob	jectives.						
Key for effects											

		Key fo	r effects		
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain

NE3 – Landscape Vie	ws												
	T	imescale				Nature of Ef	ifect						
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation					
Social													
S1. Achieve a better	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand							s not eliminate the possibility of develop equently, the policy is unlikely to have a	oment taking place in these areas and, as such, a significant impact on the objective.					
S2. Improve accessibility	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
for all to essential services and facilities	The policy is	ne policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon access to services and facilities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											
S3. Enhance transport	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
infrastructure, improve	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon access tra	insport infrastructure	e and choice of travel mode. As such, it	is unlikely to have a significant impact on the					
choice of travel mode and	objective.												
quality of life to all													
communities.		-	-										
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
and the fear of crime		· · · · ·	-	, ,	1		me. As such, it is unlikely to have a sig	nificant impact on the objective.					
S5. Reduce poverty and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
social exclusion							As such, it is unlikely to have a significa	ant impact on the objective.					
S6. Encourage a sense of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
community identity and	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon communit	y identity and welfar	e. As such, it is unlikely to have a signi	ficant impact on the objective.					
welfare and value													
diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity													
S7. Improve qualifications	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
and skills of the resident	-	ů,	,		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ich, it is unlikely to have a significant im	nact on the objective					
population					•								
S8. Improve the health	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
and, inequalities in health of the population	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon health. As	such, it is unlikely t	o have a significant impact on the object	ctive.					
S9. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							

NE3 – Landscape Vie	ws									
	T	imescale				Nature of E	ffect			
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation		
local neighbourhood quality	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon local neig	hbourhood quality.	As such, it is unlikely to have a significa	nt impact on the objective.		
Environment										
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	0 The policy is	0 s unlikely to	0 have a sign	Medium ificant impact up	N/A oon traffic. As	N/A such, it is unlikely to	b have a significant impact on the objec	tive.		
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space,	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on the image of the local area			
biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	The policy provides protection for the rural wooded views between the River Bollin and Altrincham town centre. The protection of these views could help to retain these areas of woodland and other habitats in the area. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have some positive effect on the objective and its sub-objective of conserving and enhancing the natural environment.									
E3. Reduce contributions climate change	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change			
		npact upon c	contributions					on of these wooded areas could have some ere. As such, the policy has the potential to have		
E4. Reduce impact of	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term				
climate change	to retain the	ese areas of which help	woodland a reduce leve	nd open space i	in the area. T	ne policy could there	efore provide protection to areas have the	n centre. The protection of these views could help ne potential to provide shade, shelter and urban mpact on the objective and its sub-objective of		
E5. Reduce the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
environmental impacts of consumption and production	The policy is objective.	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon the enviro	nmental impacts of	consumption and production. As such,	it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the		
E6. Conserve land	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term				
resources and reduce land contamination							een the River Bollin and Altrincham town licy has the potential to have some posi	n centre. The protection of these views could help tive effect on the objective.		
E7. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
water quality	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon water qua	lity. As such, it is ur	likely to have a significant impact on the	e objective.		

NE3 – Landscape Vie	ws										
	Т	imescale				Nature of E					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly amongst those who suffer from respiratory illnesses.				
	The policy provides protection for the rural wooded views between the River Bollin and Altrincham town centre. The protection of these wooded areas could have some beneficial impact upon air quality by helping to remove pollutants from the atmosphere. As such, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.										
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area				
distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	The policy seeks to protect and enhance a number of key landscape views that make a major contribution to the quality of the Borough's landscape. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on landscape character.										
Economic	- -										
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	0 0 Medium N/A N/A N/A The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	0 The policy is	0 s unlikely to	0 have a sign	Medium ificant impact up	N/A oon reducing e	N/A economic disparities	s. As such, it is unlikely to have a signifi	cant impact on the objective.			
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
image as a tourism destination	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	iificant impact up	oon Trafford's	image as a tourism	destination. As such, it is unlikely to ha	ve a significant impact on the objective.			
EC4. Encourage the long	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
				10 11 1		1 1111 C T CC 11					
term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon the sustai	nability of Trafford's	town centres. As such, it is unlikely to l	have a significant impact on the objective.			

NE3 – Landscape Vie	F							r	
	Т	imescale				Nature of Ef			
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation	
and environmental performance of the economy	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary	-								
The policy has the potential to the Borough's landscape, the							ancing a number of key landscape viev	vs that make a major contribution	on to the quality of
centre. The protection of thes	e views could ving land resc	help to reta ources; redu	ain these ar	eas of woodlan outions to climat	d and other h e change; and	abitats in the area a dimproving air qual	ride protection to the rural wooded view and would therefore have the potentia ity. By protecting these areas the poli surface water run-off.	I to have some positive impact	on the objectives
The proposed policy would no	have a negat	ive or uncer	tain impact	on any of the ot	jectives.				
					ĸ	ev for effects			

 Key for effects

 ++ major positive;
 + minor positive;
 0 neutral;
 - minor negative;
 - major negative;
 ? uncertain

Appendix S

Green Infrastructure

Green Infrast	Green Infrastructure Policy Options									
Option 1	Protect all existing open space in the UDP that has a GI function (e.g. Protected Linear Land, Wildlife Corridor, Mersey									
	Valley) and rename and identify them on the Policies Map as Strategic GI.									
Option 2	Protect and identify all the existing UDP sites as "Strategic GI" but in addition identify further GI protection and opportunities for enhancement for all or some of the following: waterways with a buffer, the three areas identified as BOAs (Stretford									
	Meadows, Wellacre, Carrington Mossland) and "GI opportunity areas".									

Green Infrastructure	Policy Opti	ons									
		Т	imesca	e			Nature of Eff				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation		
Social	•	<u>.</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>		ł			
S1. Achieve a better	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
palance and mix in the	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
ousing market between wailability and demand	The approach unlikely to have					ignificant im	pact upon achieving	g a better balance and mix in the l	housing market and, as such, both options are		
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life			
and facilities	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term				
	Both of the options would help protect areas of green infrastructure and should therefore have a positive impact on access to existing areas of greenspace. As such, options have the potential to have a positive impact on the objective. However, by identifying and protecting a greater number of GI assets, Option 2 has the potential have a greater impact on the objective.										
S3. Enhance transport	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
nfrastructure, improve	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	The approach have a signific				kely to have a s	ignificant im	pact upon transport	t infrastructure and choice of trave	el mode. As such, both options are unlikely to		
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
-		•	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
and the fear of crime	Option 2	0	0	0	Weddull	1 1/7 1					
and the fear of crime		to green	infrastruct	•				sorder and fear of crime. As such	, both options are unlikely to have a significan		
and the fear of crime S5. Reduce poverty and	The approach	to green	infrastruct	•				sorder and fear of crime. As such	, both options are unlikely to have a significan		

Green Infrastructure	Policy Opti	ons												
		Timescale					Nature of Eff	ect						
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation					
		The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant in on the objective.												
S6. Encourage a sense of	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
community identity and	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.													
S7. Improve qualifications	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
and skills of the resident	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
population	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact of the objective.													
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life						
of the population	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term							
	Both options v informal recre		to the pro	otection of	areas of green	space and c	ould therefore have	a positive effect on the objective	by encouraging participation in sport and					
S9. Protect and improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
local neighbourhood	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
quality	The approach on the objectiv		infrastruct	ure is unli	kely to have a s	ignificant im	pact upon local nei	ghbourhood quality. As such, both	options are unlikely to have a significant impact					
Environment														
E1. Reduce the effect of	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
traffic on the environment	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
	The approach	to green	infrastruct	ure is unli	kely to have a s	ignificant im	pact upon traffic. As	s such, both options are unlikely to	o have a significant impact on the objective.					
E2. Protect, enhance and	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough	Long term	Secondary impacts on						
restore open space,						wide		perceptions of the area.						
biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term							

Green Infrastructure		-	imescal	A			Nature of Eff						
	Quiling						r	7	NATCH Chair				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
morphological features	have a more s	Both options would lead to the protection of areas of existing green infrastructure and would therefore have a positive effect on the objective. Option 2 is however likely have a more significant impact on the objective as it would not only protect GI that is identified by the UDP but would also provide protection to waterways with a buffer GI opportunity areas most specifically identified BOAs named in GM Ecological Framework as important areas for improving biodiversity.											
E3. Reduce contributions	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term						
to climate change	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term						
	infrastructure	has the po ve a positi	tential to	reduce co	ntributions to cl jective. Howeve	imate by res	ulting in the seques ing and protecting a	stration of carbon dioxide from the	ement and enhancement of areas of green atmosphere. As such, both options have the otion 2 has the potential to have a greater impact				
E4. Reduce impact of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term						
climate change	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		the potential to provide shade, shelter and urban				
	cooling which would help reduce the impact of the higher summer temperatures that are predicted as a result of climate change. In addition, these areas of green infrastructure can reduce levels of surface water run-off and therefore have the potential to lessen the impact of extreme weather events that are predicted to become increasingly frequent due to climate change. As such, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objective. However, by identifying and protecting a greater number of GI assets, Option 2 has the potential to have a greater impact on the objective.												
E5. Reduce the	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
environmental impacts of	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
consumption and production	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As s unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.												
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term						
contamination	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term						
								have a positive impact on conser act on the objective.	ving land resources. However, by identifying an				
E7. Protect and improve	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on					
water quality	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	biodiversity					
		Dotion 2 ++ + ++ ++ Medium Local Long term blodiversity Both options would protect areas of greenfield land from development, a number of which are located in close proximity to water courses. Option 2 would however give greater protection to buffers to waterways and, as such, has the potential to have a more significant impact on the objective.											

Green Infrastructure	Policy Opti	ons											
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Eff	ect					
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on health					
	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term						
	infrastructure	Both options would lead to the protection of areas of existing green infrastructure. Tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of areas of green infrastructure has the potential to have a beneficial impact on air quality by helping to remove pollutants from the atmosphere. As such, both options have the potential to have a beneficial impact on air quality by helping to remove pollutants from the atmosphere. As such, both options have the potential to have a greater impact on the objective.											
E9. Protect and enhance	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term						
the diversity and	Option 2	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term						
distinctiveness of	Both options w	vould prot	ect areas	of greenfie	eld land that cor	ntribute to la	ndscape character	from development and would ther	efore have a positive impact on the objective.				
landscape and townscape	However, by i	However, by identifying a greater number of GI opportunities, Option 2 has the potential to have a greater impact on the objective.											
character and cultural													
facilities													
Economic		•											
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
high performance and	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
sustainable economy to					kely to have a s	ignificant im	pact upon Trafford's	s economic performance and eco	nomy. As such, both options are unlikely to have				
provide a powerful	a significant in	npact on t	he objectiv	ve.									
contribution to regional													
growth								1					
EC2. Reducing disparities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
by releasing the potential	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	The approach objective.	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing disparities. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the											
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
image as a tourism	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
destination	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.												
EC4. Encourage the long	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
term sustainability of	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						

		Т	imescal	е	ect							
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
Trafford's Town Centres		he approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, both options are unlikely to have a ignificant impact inpact impact on the objective.										
EC5. Improve the social	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
and environmental	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
performance of the	The approach to green infrastructure is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											

Sustainability Summary

Both of the proposed approaches to green infrastructure would have a similar impact on the objectives. In particular, both options would protect areas of greenspace from development and could therefore have a positive impact on access to greenspace; health and on conserving land resources. However, by identifying and protecting a greater number of GI assets, Option 2 has the potential to have a greater impact on each of these objectives.

The protection given to GI by the two options would ensure that both approaches would also have a positive impact on the objectives that relate to biodiversity; reducing the effects of climate change; landscape character; and water quality. In addition, tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of these areas could have a positive impact on air quality and climate change by absorbing pollutants and carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, both options have the potential to have a positive effect on the objectives of improving air quality and reducing contributions to climate change. However, by identifying a greater number of GI opportunities, Option 2 has the potential to have a greater impact on each of these objectives.

Neither option would have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.

++ major positive; + minor positive;

0 neutral:

Key for effects utral; – minor negative;

-- major negative; ? uncertain

Social synergistic S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the provision of housing and is therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objecti more availability and demand + + Medium Local Long term S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure sites that will be protected and enhanced and seeks to ensure that development does not imp proposed access to these areas. The policy could therefore enhance access to open space and thereby have some positive impact on the objectiv choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities. The policy promotes access to a number of Green Infrastructure site. It also specifically seeks to encourage the use of Metrolink to access Sale to use and the sub-objective of improving participation in walking and cycling. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime. 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S5. Encourage a sense of community identity and wefare and value diversity, improve equily and equality of opportunity 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S7. Improve the health and, inequalities in health 0 0 Medium N/A <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>cture</th> <th>GI1 – Green Infrastrue</th>									cture	GI1 – Green Infrastrue
Social synergistic Social 0 0 Medium N/A N/A St. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure sites that will be protected and enhanced and seeks to ensure that development does not imp proposed access to these areas. The policy could therefore enhance access to open space and thereby have some positive impact on the object infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities. The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure sites. It also specifically seeks to encourage the use of Metrolink to access So a number of Green Infrastructure site. It also specifically seeks to encourage the use of Metrolink to access So a limber of Green Infrastructure site. The policy therefore has the policn between and the fear of crime S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S5. Encourage a sense of community identity and weffare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S7. Improve the health and, inequalities in health and, inequalities in health The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective d			ffect	Nature of E				imescale	Т	
S1. Achieve a better balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the provision of housing and is therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objecti and facilities + + + Medium Local Long term S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities. + + + Medium Local Long term Secondary impacts on health. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime 0 0 Medium N/A N/A N/A S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion 0 0 Medium N/A N/A N/A S6. Encourage a sense of community identify and welfare and value diversity, improve equily and equality of one qualificant impact upon community identify and welfare and value diversity, improve equily and equality of opportunity 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S7. Improve the health and quality identify and welfare and value diversity, improve equily and equality of opportunity 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health 0 0 0	Mitigation	Mitigation	-	Permanence	Scale	Certainty				SA Objective
balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the provision of housing and is therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the objecting availability and demand S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities + + Medium Local Long term S3. Enhance transport + + + Medium Local Long term S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime + + + Medium Local Long term Secondary impacts on health. S5. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S6. Renourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equifications 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S7. Improve equifications 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Improves a significant impact on the objective and skills of the resident population 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S6. Reduce poverty and social exclusion 0 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Impr										Social
housing market between availability and demand S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services and facilities + + Medium Local Long term S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities. + + + Medium Local Long term Secondary impacts on health. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and wefare and value diversity, improve equifications and skills of the resident population 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S7. Improve the health and, inequalities in health ++++ + Medium N/A N/A S8. Improve the health and skills of the resident population 0 0 Medium N/A N/A							-	-	-	S1. Achieve a better
for all to essential services and facilities The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure sites that will be protected and enhanced and seeks to ensure that development does not imp proposed access to these areas. The policy could therefore enhance access to open space and thereby have some positive impact on the objecti infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities. + + Medium Local Long term Secondary impacts on health. 54. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime 0 0 0 Medium N/A N/A 55. Reduce poverty and social exclusion 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objecti mode and the sub-objective of improving participation in walking and cycling. 57. Reduce poverty and social exclusion 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objecti mode and the sub-objective of a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective and the fear of crime The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity 0 0 Medium N/A N/A 58. Improve the health and, inequalities in health 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Improve sequity of opportunity <th>ctive.</th> <th>impact on the objective.</th> <th>s therefore unlikely to have a significant</th> <th>ion of housing and i</th> <th>oon the provis</th> <th>ificant impact up</th> <th>have a signi</th> <th>s unlikely to I</th> <th>The policy is</th> <th>housing market between</th>	ctive.	impact on the objective.	s therefore unlikely to have a significant	ion of housing and i	oon the provis	ificant impact up	have a signi	s unlikely to I	The policy is	housing market between
and facilities proposed access to these areas. The policy could therefore enhance access to open space and thereby have some positive impact on the objecti S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities. + + + Medium Local Long term Secondary impacts on health. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Isorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the object infrastructure site. It also specifically seeks to encourage the use of Metrolink to access Sale to the use of footpaths and cycleways to access this strategic green infrastructure site. The policy therefore has the potential to have some positive index and the sub-objective of improving participation in walking and cycling. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Isorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the object ifficant impact on the object of oromunity identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Midue Midue				Long term	Local	Medium	+	+	+	S2. Improve accessibility
S3. Enhance transport infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities. + + + Medium Local Long term Secondary impacts on health. The policy promotes access to a number of Green Infrastructure sites. It also specifically seeks to encourage the use of Metrolink to access Sale to the use of footpaths and cycleways to access this strategic green infrastructure site. The policy therefore has the potential to have some positive i mode and the sub-objective of improving participation in walking and cycling. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective. S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective. S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health ++ ++ ++ Medium Local Long term Secondary impacts on quality of life										
infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities. The policy promotes access to a number of Green Infrastructure sites. It also specifically seeks to encourage the use of Metrolink to access Sale to the use of footpaths and cycleways to access this strategic green infrastructure site. The policy therefore has the potential to have some positive in mode and the sub-objective of improving participation in walking and cycling. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equilifications and skills of the resident population 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S7. Improve the health and, inequalities in health 0 0 Medium N/A N/A Medium N/A	Glive.									
choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities. the use of footpaths and cycleways to access this strategic green infrastructure site. The policy therefore has the potential to have some positive i mode and the sub-objective of improving participation in walking and cycling. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S7. Reduce poverty and social exclusion 0 0 Medium N/A N/A N/A S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S7. Improve the health and, inequalities in health 0 0 Medium N/A N/A N/A	a Water Dark and promotes	rolink to appage Sale Water Bark	, ,	•			-	+	-	
and the fear of crimeThe policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the of social exclusionS5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion00MediumN/AN/Asocial exclusionThe policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objectiveS6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity00MediumN/AN/AS7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population00MediumN/AN/AImpact on the objective.S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health++++MediumLocalLong termSecondary impacts on quality of lifeThe policy seeks to protect and enhance a series of green infrastructure sites and could therefore promote participation in informal recreation. The				infrastructure site.	trategic green	to access this s	l cycleways	potpaths and	the use of fo	quality of life to all
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion 0 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity 0 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population 0 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health ++ ++ Medium Local Long term Secondary impacts on quality of life				· · · ·			•	÷	•	
social exclusion The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon poverty and social exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the object S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity O O O Medium N/A N/A S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population O O O Medium N/A N/A S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health ++ ++ Medium Local Long term Secondary impacts on quality of life	e objective.	ificant impact on the objective.	me. As such, it is unlikely to have a sign			ificant impact up	have a signi	s unlikely to	The policy is	and the fear of crime
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity O O O Medium N/A N/A S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population O O O Medium N/A N/A S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health ++ ++ ++ Medium N/A N/A		1					,	•	•	
community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the observed and equality of opportunity S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population 0 0 Medium N/A N/A S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health ++ ++ ++ Medium Local Long term Secondary impacts on quality of life	jective.	int impact on the objective.	As such, it is unlikely to have a significa-	nd social exclusion.	oon poverty ar	ificant impact up	have a signi	s unlikely to	The policy is	social exclusion
welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population S8. Improve the health ++		1					,	•	•	
and skills of the resident population The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective. S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health ++ ++ Medium Local Long term Secondary impacts on quality of life The policy seeks to protect and enhance a series of green infrastructure sites and could therefore promote participation in informal recreation. The	objective.	icant impact on the objective.	re. As such, it is unlikely to have a signifi				have a signi	s unlikely to I	The policy is	welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity
population S8. Improve the health ++ ++ Medium Local Long term Secondary impacts on quality of life and, inequalities in health The policy seeks to protect and enhance a series of green infrastructure sites and could therefore promote participation in informal recreation. The		I		· · · ·	-		•	÷		
and, inequalities in health The policy seeks to protect and enhance a series of green infrastructure sites and could therefore promote participation in informal recreation. The	е.	pact on the objective.	uch, it is unlikely to have a significant imp	ons and skills. As su	oon qualificatio	ificant impact up	have a signi	s unlikely to I	The policy is	
										S8. Improve the health
	he policy also protects Sale	formal recreation. The policy also	uld therefore promote participation in inf	ructure sites and co	f green infrast	ance a series o	ect and enh	eeks to prot	The policy s	and, inequalities in health
of the population Water Park, which is a major regional centre for water sports, and promotes the use of bridleways and cycleways to access this strategic green in therefore has the potential to increase participation in recreation, walking and cycling and could therefore have a major positive impact on health. high level of certainty about this impact as the retention of sports facilities does not guarantee that people will choose to participate in sport or recreation.	h. However, there is not a	tive impact on health. However, th	and could therefore have a major positi	walking and cycling	in recreation,	se participation	ial to increa	is the potent	therefore ha	of the population

GI1 – Green Infrastru	cture												
	T	imescale				Nature of E	ffect						
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation					
S9. Protect and improve	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term							
local neighbourhood quality	The protecti some positiv	•			e a positive in	npact on neighbourh	nood quality and perceptions of an area	. As such, the policy has the potential to have					
Environment													
E1. Reduce the effect of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
traffic on the environment		The policy promotes the use of public transport, walking and cycling to access Sale Water Park. It is however considered that the policy is unlikely to have a significant mpact on traffic and is therefore unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.											
E2. Protect, enhance and	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions						
restore open space,							of the area						
biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	The protection and enhancement of green infrastructure has the potential to provide a range of habitats for species. The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure that will be protected and enhanced. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on the elements of the objective that relate to protecting and enhancing open space. The policy also specifically states that the Broad Ees Dole Local Nature Site at Sale Water Park will be maintained. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on the elements of the objective of conserving and enhancing the natural environment.												
E3. Reduce contributions	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term							
to climate change	green infras	tructure has	the potentia	al to reduce con	tributions to c	limate by resulting in		h the management and enhancement of areas of om the atmosphere. As such, by protecting and					
E4. Reduce impact of	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term							
	1	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure sites that will be maintained and enhanced. These areas of green infrastructure have the potential to provide shade, shelter and urban cooling which would help reduce the impact of the higher summer temperatures that are predicted as a result of climate change. In addition, these areas of green infrastructure can reduce levels of surface water run-off and therefore have the potential to lessen the impact of extreme weather events that are predicted to become increasingly frequent due to climate change. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective and its sub-objective of minimising the risk of flooding.											
climate change	shelter and green infras increasingly	urban coolin tructure can frequent du	ig which wo reduce leve	uld help reduce els of surface wa	the impact of ater run-off an	the higher summer d therefore have the	temperatures that are predicted as a re e potential to lessen the impact of extre	sult of climate change. In addition, these areas of me weather events that are predicted to become					
climate change E5. Reduce the	shelter and green infras increasingly	urban coolin tructure can frequent du	ig which wo reduce leve	uld help reduce els of surface wa	the impact of ater run-off an	the higher summer d therefore have the	temperatures that are predicted as a re e potential to lessen the impact of extre	sult of climate change. In addition, these areas of me weather events that are predicted to become					
	shelter and green infras increasingly the risk of flo 0	urban coolir tructure can frequent du ooding. 0	ig which wo reduce leve le to climate 0	uld help reduce els of surface wa change. Conse Medium	the impact of ater run-off an equently, the p	the higher summer d therefore have the policy has the potent N/A	temperatures that are predicted as a re e potential to lessen the impact of extre ial to have a major positive impact on th	sult of climate change. In addition, these areas of me weather events that are predicted to become					

		imescale				Nature of E	ffect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
resources and reduce land contamination				reas of existing impact on the o		and would ensure th	at these areas of greenfield land are no	t released for development. The policy therefo			
E7. Protect and improve	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.				
water quality	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure sites that will be maintained and enhanced. These areas of green infrastructure can moderate surface water run-o and minimise incidences of surface water and sewer flooding, both of which can have a detrimental impact on water quality. Consequently, the policy has the potential have a positive impact on the objective.										
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly amongst those who suffer from respiratory illnesses.				
	planting ass	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure sites that will be maintained and enhanced. A number of these sites are situated in close proximity to AQMAs. Tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of areas of green infrastructure has the potential to have a beneficial impact on air quality by helping to remove pollutants from the atmosphere. As such, by protecting and enhancing areas of green infrastructure, the policy has the potential to have a beneficial to have a positive impact on the objective.									
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area				
distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	The policy seeks to protect and enhance a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas across the Borough. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on landscape character.										
Economic											
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon Trafford's	economic performa	nce and economy. As such, it is unlikel	y to have a significant impact on the objective.			
EC2. Reducing disparities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon reducing (economic disparities	s. As such, it is unlikely to have a signifi	cant impact on the objective.			

GI1 – Green Infra	struct	ture									
		Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect			
SA Objective		0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, o synerg		Mitigation	
EC3. Enhance Trafford image as a tourism		+	+	+	Medium	More than local	Long term	Secondary impacts and prosperity.			
destination			the provision							recreation. By protecting th ve impact on the image of T	
EC4. Encourage the lo		0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centre		The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon the sustai	nability of Trafford's	town centres. As suc	ch, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the	objective.
EC5. Improve the socia		0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
and environmental performance of the economy		The policy is on the objec		have a sign	ificant impact up	oon the social	and environmental	performance of Traffo	ord's economy. As suc	ch, it is unlikely to have a sig	gnificant impact
Sustainability Summar	ry										
recreational use and pro	omoting v r positive	walking and e effect on th	cycling, the ne objective	policy has t of reducing	he potential to h the impacts of	nave a major p climate chang	positive impact on the ge by helping to mo	ne objective relating to	o health. By protecting	d enhancing areas of greer these areas of green infras educe surface water run-off	tructure the policy
impact on air quality and	d climate	change by a to climate	absorbing po change. In	ollutants and addition, the	d carbon dioxide e identification a	e from the atm	osphere. As such, t	he policy has the pot	ential to have some po	cement of these areas coul sitive effect on the objective positive effect on the objecti	es of improving air
facilities and choice of t provision of appropriate	By promoting enhanced access to areas of green infrastructure, particularly by walking and cycling, the policy has the potential to have some positive impact on the objectives relating to the accessibility of facilities and choice of travel mode. The policy could also have some positive effect on the image of Trafford as a tourism destination by protecting Sale Water Park and giving encouragement to the provision of appropriate facilities at this site.										
The proposed policy wor	uid not h	ave a negat	ive or uncer	tain impact	on any of the ob	ojectives.					
	++	major pos	sitive;	+ minor	positive;	K 0 neutr	ey for effects al; – mino	r negative;	– – major negative	e; ? uncertain	

GI2 – Green Infrastru	cture Opp	oortunity	Areas									
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
Social		-										
S1. Achieve a better	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
balance and mix in the								en Belt and are not identified for housing. Smaller				
housing market between availability and demand		sites identified as a wash over existing designations could potentially enhance a housing site. However there is in sufficient detail to determine this at present. Consequently, the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
S2. Improve accessibility	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term						
for all to essential services and facilities						reas that will be enh some positive impa		these areas for informal recreation. The policy				
S3. Enhance transport	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health.					
infrastructure, improve	The policy p	he policy promotes access to/through a number of Green Infrastructure sites by walking and cycling. In particular, it identifies Altrincham Sewage Works as having the										
choice of travel mode and		potential to provide active travel routes between Carrington and Sale West and identifies the potential to create footpaths and cycle links across Carrington Mossland to link										
quality of life to all				ington. The polic	cy therefore h	as the potential to h	ave some positive impact upon choice of	of travel mode and the sub-objective of improving				
communities.	participation	in walking a	and cycling.									
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
and the fear of crime	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon crime, dis	order and fear of cri	me. As such, it is unlikely to have a sig	nificant impact on the objective.				
S5. Reduce poverty and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
social exclusion	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	on poverty ar	nd social exclusion.	As such, it is unlikely to have a significa	ant impact on the objective.				
S6. Encourage a sense of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
community identity and	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	on communit	y identity and welfar	e. As such, it is unlikely to have a signi	ficant impact on the objective.				
welfare and value												
diversity, improve equity												
and equality of opportunity		1										
S7. Improve qualifications	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
and skills of the resident	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											
population												
S8. Improve the health	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life					

GI2 – Green Infrastru	cture Opp	oortunity	/ Areas									
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
and, inequalities in health of the population	number of C between Ca Partington.											
S9. Protect and improve	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term						
local neighbourhood quality	The provisio positive imp			e can have a po	sitive impact o	on neighbourhood q	uality and perceptions of an area. As su	uch, the policy has the potential to have some				
Environment	<u> </u>		,									
E1. Reduce the effect of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
traffic on the environment	The policy promotes access to/through a number of Green Infrastructure sites by walking and cycling. In particular, it identifies Altrincham Sewage Works as having the potential to provide active travel routes between Carrington and Sale West and identifies the potential to create footpaths and cycle links across Carrington Mossland to link Sale West with Carrington and Partington. It is however considered that the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on traffic and is therefore unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.											
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space,	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area					
biodiversity, flora and	The provisio	n of areen i	nfrastructure	e has the poten	tial to provide	a range of habitats t		s of green infrastructure opportunity areas that				
fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	The provision of green infrastructure has the potential to provide a range of habitats for species. The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas that will be enhanced. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on the elements of the objective that relate to protecting and enhancing open space. The policy also states that a number of these green infrastructure opportunity areas will be enhanced to improve their biodiversity value. For instance, it is specified that enhancements at Wellacre will include habitat creation/management. The policy also promotes enhanced biodiversity within a Community Forest setting and that the land to the west of Roaring Gate Lane will be enhanced and managed for its ecological interest. The policy therefore has the potential to have a major positive impact on the elements of the objective that relate to biodiversity, flora and fauna, and on the sub-objective of conserving and enhancing the natural environment.											
E3. Reduce contributions	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term						
to climate change	enhanceme such, by enl	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas that will be maintained and enhanced. Tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of areas of green infrastructure has the potential to reduce contributions to climate by resulting in the sequestration of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, by enhancing areas of green infrastructure and encouraging tree planting at a number of these sites, such as Stretford Meadows, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.										
E4. Reduce impact of	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term						

GI2 – Green Infrastru	cture Op	oortunity	Areas									
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
climate change	shade, shelf areas of gre become incl	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas that will be maintained and enhanced. These areas of green infrastructure have the potential to provide shade, shelter and urban cooling which would help reduce the impact of the higher summer temperatures that are predicted as a result of climate change. In addition, these areas of green infrastructure can reduce levels of surface water run-off and therefore have the potential to lessen the impact of extreme weather events that are predicted to become increasingly frequent due to climate change. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective and its sub-objective of minimising the risk of flooding.										
E5. Reduce the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
environmental impacts of consumption and production	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											
E6. Conserve land	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term						
resources and reduce land contamination		The policy seeks to enhance areas of existing green space and would ensure that these areas of greenfield land are not released for development. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.										
E7. Protect and improve	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.					
water quality	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas that will be maintained and enhanced. These areas of green infrastructure can moderate surface water run-off rates and minimise incidences of surface water and sewer flooding, both of which can have a detrimental impact on water quality. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.											
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly amongst those who suffer from respiratory illnesses.					
	The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas that will be maintained and enhanced. A number of these opportunity areas, such as Stretford Meadows and the open land to the south of the M60, are situated in close proximity to AQMAs. Tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of areas of green infrastructure has the potential to have a beneficial impact on air quality by helping to remove pollutants from the atmosphere. As such, by enhancing areas of green infrastructure and by encouraging tree planting at a number of these sites, including Stretford Meadows, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.											
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area					
distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	The policy s landscape c		ance a serie	es of green infra	structure opp	ortunity areas acros	s the Borough. The policy therefore has	the potential to have a major positive impact on				

GI2 – Green Infrastructure Opportunity Areas												
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
Economic		-		2		<u>.</u>	•					
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon Trafford's	economic performa	nce and economy. As such, it is unlikel	y to have a significant impact on the objective.				
EC2. Reducing disparities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
by releasing the potential	The policy is	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
of all residents particularly												
in areas of disadvantage		1										
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
image as a tourism destination	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon Trafford's	image as a tourism	destination. As such, it is unlikely to ha	ve a significant impact on the objective.				
EC4. Encourage the long	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon the sustai	nability of Trafford's	town centres. As such, it is unlikely to h	nave a significant impact on the objective.				
EC5. Improve the social	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
and environmental performance of the economy	The policy is on the object		have a sign	ificant impact up	oon the social	and environmental	performance of Trafford's economy. As	such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact				
Sustainability Summary												

GI2 – Green Infrastructure Opportunity Areas											
Timescale Nature of Effect											
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
The policy has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, by protecting and enhancing areas of green infrastructure for recreational use and promoting walking and											

The policy has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, by protecting and enhancing areas of green infrastructure for recreational use and promoting walking and cycling, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective relating to health. By enhancing areas of green infrastructure opportunity the policy could also have a major positive effect on the objective of reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and reduce surface water run-off. Other objectives that the policy could have a major positive impact on are those that relate to biodiversity and landscape character.

The policy identifies a series of green infrastructure opportunity areas that will be maintained and enhanced. Tree planting associated with the management and enhancement of these areas could have a positive impact on air quality and climate change by absorbing pollutants and carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, the policy has the potential to have some positive effect on the objectives of improving air quality and reducing contributions to climate change. In addition, the identification and enhancement of these green infrastructure sites could also have some positive effect on the objectives that relates to local neighbourhood quality; water quality; and conserving land resources. In addition, by promoting enhanced access to areas of green infrastructure, particularly by walking and cycling, the policy has the potential to have some positive impact on the objectives relating to the accessibility of facilities and choice of travel mode.

The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.

	Key for effects										
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	– – major negative;	? uncertain						

GI3 – Site Specific Gr	een Infras	structure	•							
	Т	imescale)			Nature of E				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation		
Social							•	·		
S1. Achieve a better	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	on the objective. d									
S2. Improve accessibility	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
for all to essential services and facilities	The propose objective.	ne proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon access to essential services and facilities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the								
S3. Enhance transport	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.									
quality of life to all communities.										
	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
communities.	-	•	•				fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to	have a significant impact on the objective.		
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime S5. Reduce poverty and	-	•	•				fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to	have a significant impact on the objective.		
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	The propose 0	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significant Medium	t impact upon N/A	crime, disorder and N/A	fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have			
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime S5. Reduce poverty and	The propose 0 The propose 0	ed policy is u O ed policy is u O	unlikely to ha	ave a significant Medium ave a significant Medium	t impact upon N/A t impact upon N/A	crime, disorder and N/A poverty and social e N/A	exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have	e a significant impact on the objective.		
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	The propose 0 The propose 0	ed policy is u O ed policy is u O	unlikely to ha	ave a significant Medium ave a significant Medium	t impact upon N/A t impact upon N/A	crime, disorder and N/A poverty and social e N/A	exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have			
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity S7. Improve qualifications	The propose 0 The propose 0 The propose	ed policy is u O ed policy is u O ed policy is u O	unlikely to ha O unlikely to ha O unlikely to ha	ave a significant Medium ave a significant Medium ave a significant Medium	t impact upon N/A t impact upon N/A t impact upon	crime, disorder and N/A poverty and social e N/A community identity	exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.		
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	The propose 0 The propose 0 The propose	ed policy is u O ed policy is u O ed policy is u O	unlikely to ha O unlikely to ha O unlikely to ha	ave a significant Medium ave a significant Medium ave a significant Medium	t impact upon N/A t impact upon N/A t impact upon	crime, disorder and N/A poverty and social e N/A community identity	exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.		
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident	The propose 0 The propose 0 The propose	ed policy is u O ed policy is u O ed policy is u O	unlikely to ha O unlikely to ha O unlikely to ha	ave a significant Medium ave a significant Medium ave a significant Medium	t impact upon N/A t impact upon N/A t impact upon	crime, disorder and N/A poverty and social e N/A community identity	exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.		
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident population	The propose 0 The propose 0 The propose 0 The propose	ed policy is u O ed policy is u O ed policy is u O ed policy is u	unlikely to ha unlikely to ha Unlikely to ha Unlikely to ha Unlikely to ha Unlikely to ha	ave a significant Medium ave a significant Medium ave a significant Medium ave a significant	t impact upon N/A t impact upon N/A t impact upon N/A	crime, disorder and N/A poverty and social e N/A community identity N/A qualifications and s	exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have	ave a significant impact on the objective.		

GI3 – Site Specific Gr	een Infras	structure	•					
	Т	imescale)			Nature of E	ffect	
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
local neighbourhood	The provision	on of green i	nfrastructur	e can have a po	sitive impact of	n neighbourhood q	uality and perceptions of an area. As su	uch, the policy has the potential to have some
quality	positive imp	act on the o	bjective.					
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
traffic on the environment	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon traffic and	, as such, is unlikely	y to have a significant impact on the ob	ective.
E2. Protect, enhance and	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions	
restore open space,						C C	of the area	
biodiversity, flora and								requires the provision of green infrastructure in
fauna, geological and geo-	new develop	oment and e	encourages	this to take any	reasonable op	portunities to conne	ect to, or enhance, the existing Strategi	c Green Infrastructure Network. The policy
morphological features	therefore ha	is the poten	tial to have a	a major positive	impact on the	objective and on th	e sub-objective of conserving and enha	ancing the natural environment.
E3. Reduce contributions	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
to climate change								e atmosphere. As such, by requiring new
	developmer	nt to contribu	ute to the gro	een infrastructur	e through, inte	er alia, tree planting	, the policy has the potential to have a	positive impact on the objective.
E4. Reduce impact of	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term		
climate change								ould help reduce the impact of the higher summer
								water run-off and therefore have the potential to
								onsequently, by requiring new development to
		owards gree	n infrastruct	ure provision, th	ne policy has t	ne potential to have	a major positive impact on the objective	e and its sub-objective of minimising the risk of
	flooding.	1	1				Γ	
E5. Reduce the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
environmental impacts of		s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon the enviro	nmental impacts of	consumption and production. As such,	it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the
consumption and	objective.							
production		0	0	M. P. J.	N1/A	N1/A	1	1
E6. Conserve land	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
resources and reduce land contamination	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon land resou	irces or contaminati	ion. As such, it is unlikely to have a sign	nificant impact on the objective.
E7. Protect and improve	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.	
water quality		mental impa	act on water	quality. Consec				face water and sewer flooding, both of which can tructure provision, the policy has the potential to

GI3 – Site Specific Gr												
	Т	imescale	1			Nature of E	ffect					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly amongst those who suffer from respiratory illnesses.					
	Tree can pla	The policy requires new development to contribute to green infrastructure and the supporting text to the policy explains that this will often be achieved through tree planting. Tree can play an important role in improving air quality by removing some pollutants from the atmosphere. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.										
E9. Protect and enhance	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon landscape	e and townscape cha	aracter. As such, it is unlikely to have a	significant impact on the objective.				
Economic												
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	The propose objective.	ed policy is t	unlikely to ha	ave a significan	t impact upon	Trafford's economic	performance and economy. As such, i	t is unlikely to have a significant impact on the				
EC2. Reducing disparities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	The propose	ed policy is u	unlikely to ha	ave a significan	t impact upon	reducing economic	disparities. As such, it is unlikely to hav	e a significant impact on the objective.				
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
image as a tourism destination	The propose objective.	ed policy is u	unlikely to ha	Ũ		ç	a tourism destination. As such, it is unl	ikely to have a significant impact on the				
EC4. Encourage the long	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	The propose objective.	ed policy is u	unlikely to ha				Trafford's town centres. As such, it is u	Inlikely to have a significant impact on the				
EC5. Improve the social	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						

GI3 – Site Specific Green Infrastructure										
	Т	'imescale				Nature of Ef				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty Scale Permanence Secondary, cumulative, Mitigation synergistic						
and environmental performance of the economy	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.									
Sustainability Summary										
to provide a range of habitats	for species are towards gre	nd can also en infrastruc	play an imp cture provisi	portant role in m ion, the policy h	oderating the as the potent	urban heat island e	ffect and reducing surface water run-c	en infrastructure, including trees, has the potential off rates and volumes. Consequently, by requiring relate to biodiversity and reducing the impacts of		
The planting of trees can also have a positive impact on air quality and climate change by absorbing pollutants and carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. As such, the policy has the potential to have some positive effect on the objectives of improving air quality and reducing contributions to climate change. In addition, by requiring the provision of green infrastructure, the policy could also have some positive effect on the objective that relates to local neighbourhood quality.										
The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.										

Key for effects										
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain					

GI4 – Allotments										
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect			
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation		
Social	-	-	-				• •			
S1. Achieve a better	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	The policy is objective.	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	pon achieving	a better balance an	d mix in the housing market. As such, i	t is unlikely to have a significant impact on the		
S2. Improve accessibility	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
for all to essential services and facilities							h, the policy is unlikely to have a signific mpact on the objective.	ant impact upon accessibility for all to essential		
S3. Enhance transport	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.								ely to have a significant impact on the objective.		
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
and the fear of crime	The propose	ed policy is ι	unlikely to h	ave a significant	t impact upon	crime, disorder and	fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to I	nave a significant impact on the objective.		
S5. Reduce poverty and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
social exclusion	The propose	ed policy is ι	unlikely to h	ave a significant			exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.		
S6. Encourage a sense of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	The propose	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significan	t impact upon	community identity	and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to ha	ave a significant impact on the objective.		
S7. Improve qualifications	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term				
and skills of the resident population		Allotments, particularly if they are available for community use, can provide education opportunities. Accordingly, by protecting existing allotments and seeking to secure the provision of new allotments, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.								
S8. Improve the health	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life			
and, inequalities in health of the population	The provision impact on the			nents can contri	ibute to the he			ed policy has the potential to have a positive		

GI4 – Allotments													
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect						
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation					
S9. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
local neighbourhood quality	The propose	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											
Environment	•												
E1. Reduce the effect of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
traffic on the environment	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign		oon traffic and	, as such, is unlikely	/ to have a significant impact on the obj	ective.					
E2. Protect, enhance and	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term							
restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	objective by	providing p	rotection to	these areas of c pact on the elen	pen space. I	is also recognised ojective that relate to		It therefore has the potential to support the ovide habitat for species and, as a result, the					
E3. Reduce contributions	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
to climate change	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon greenhous	se gas emissions ar	nd, as such, is unlikely to have a signific	cant impact on the objective.					
E4. Reduce impact of	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term							
climate change	objective by	retaining ar	d providing		space which c	an help moderate th		It therefore has the potential to support the to reduce surface water run-off. The policy					
E5. Reduce the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
environmental impacts of consumption and production	The policy is objective.	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon the enviro	nmental impacts of	consumption and production. As such,	it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the					
E6. Conserve land	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term							
resources and reduce land contamination	The policy p impact on th		ection for ex	xisting allotment	s and, as a re	sult, would help to c	conserve these greenfield sites. The po	licy therefore has the potential to have a positive					
E7. Protect and improve	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.						
water quality							r run-off and minimise incidences of sur positive impact on the objective.	face water and sewer flooding which can have a					
E8. Protect and improve air	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
quality	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon air quality	and, as such, is unl	ikely to have a significant impact on the	e objective.					
E9. Protect and enhance	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							

GI4 – Allotments									
	T	imescale				Nature of Ef	ffect		
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation	
the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	The policy i	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	bon landscape	and townscape cha	aracter. As such, it is unlikely to have a	significant impact on the objective.	
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A			
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional prowth	The propos objective.	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significan	t impact upon	Trafford's economic	performance and economy. As such,	it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the	
C2. Reducing disparities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A			
by releasing the potential of all residents particularly n areas of disadvantage	The propos	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significan	t impact upon	reducing economic	disparities. As such, it is unlikely to ha	e a significant impact on the objective.	
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A			
mage as a tourism destination	The proposobjective.	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significant	t impact upon	Trafford's image as	a tourism destination. As such, it is un	likely to have a significant impact on the	
C4. Encourage the long	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A			
erm sustainability of Frafford's Town Centres	The proposion objective.	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significan	t impact upon	the sustainability of	Trafford's town centres. As such, it is	unlikely to have a significant impact on the	
EC5. Improve the social	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A			
and environmental performance of the economy	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								

GI4 – Allotments										
	Т	imescale				Nature of Ef				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years							
and well-being and provide edu The policy would also have a p the objective concerned with la	ucation opport positive effect and resources	on a wide r . The prote	such, the po ange of env ction of thes	licy has the pote vironmental obje se areas could a	ential to have ctives. In par also help redu	a positive impact on ticular, it would lead uce surface water ru	the social objectives that relate to hea to the protection of these greenfield s n-off and mitigate the urban heat islan	nt areas have the potential to contribute to health lth and education and skills. ites and would therefore have a positive effect on d effect. The policy therefore has the potential to some positive effect on the objective related to		
The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.										
Kov for offects										

		Key fo	r effects			
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain	

Appendix T

Sport and Leisure

SP1 – Existing Sports	and Leis	ure Faci	lities							
	Т	imescale				Nature of E				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation		
Social	-			-			-			
S1. Achieve a better	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
housing market between availability and demand								As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact		
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term				
and facilities							enhancements to these facilities to ensure retention of these local facilities.	sure they are utilised to their full potential. It		
S3. Enhance transport	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant in objective.							, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the		
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
and the fear of crime	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon crime, disorder and fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.									
S5. Reduce poverty and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
social exclusion	The propose	ed policy is ι	unlikely to h	ave a significant	impact upon	poverty and social e	exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.		
S6. Encourage a sense of	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term				
community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities and encourages appropriate enhancements to these facilities to ensure they are utilised to their full potential. It therefore has the potential to have a positive impact upon community welfare by supporting the retention and enhancement of these local community facilities.									
S7. Improve qualifications	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
and skills of the resident The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								nificant impact on the objective.		
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life			

SP1 – Existing Sports	s and Leis	ure Faci	lities							
		imescale				Nature of E	ffect			
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation		
of the population	Consequent	tly, the polic	y has the po	otential to have	a major positiv	e impact on the obj		sure they are utilised to their full potential. ation in sport. However, there is not a high level of ort or exercise.		
S9. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
local neighbourhood quality	The propose	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Environment										
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.			
E2. Protect, enhance and	positive imp	act on the o	bjective and	l its sub-objectiv	es relating to	managing traffic flo		acilities and, as such, the policy could have a d noise. There is however only a low level of rould be significant.		
restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	The propose objective.	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significan	t impact upon	open space, biodive	ersity and geological features. As such,	it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the		
E3. Reduce contributions	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term				
to climate change	recognised greenhouse resulting fro	The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities and encourages appropriate enhancements to these facilities to ensure they are utilised to their full potential. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford residents having to travel further in order to access these facilities which could result in increased greenhouse gas emissions associated with transport. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would have a significant impact on climate change.								
E4. Reduce impact of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
climate change	The propose objective.	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significan	t effect upon e	efforts to reduce the	impacts of climate change. As such, it	is unlikely to have a significant impact on the		
E5. Reduce the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
environmental impacts of consumption and production	The propose impact on th			ave a significan	t impact upon	the environmental i	mpacts of consumption and production	. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant		

SP1 – Existing Sports	s and Leis	ure Faci	lities					
		imescale				Nature of E	ffect	
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E6. Conserve land	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
resources and reduce land contamination	The propose	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significant	impact upon	land resources and	land contamination. As such, it is unlike	ely to have a significant impact on the objective.
E7. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
water quality	The propose	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significant	impact upon	water quality. As su	ich, it is unlikely to have a significant im	pact on the objective.
E8. Protect and improve air	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health,	
quality							particularly among those who suffer	
	The policy r	rovideo prot	option for in	door coorto faci	lition and ana		from respiratory illnesses	sure they are utilised to their full potential. It is
								acilities which could result in increased vehicular
								is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows
						t impact on climate		
E9. Protect and enhance	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
the diversity and	The propose	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significant	impact upon	townscape and land	dscape character. As such, it is unlikely	to have a significant impact on the objective.
distinctiveness of								
landscape and townscape								
character and cultural facilities								
Economic								
EC01. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
high performance and	-	•	,			· · · ·	performance and economy. As such i	t is unlikely to have a significant impact on the
sustainable economy to	objective.				impuot upon		performance and coordiny. No buon, i	to unintery to have a significant impact on the
provide a powerful	•••]••••							
contribution to regional								
growth								
EC2. Reducing disparities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
by releasing the potential	The propose	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significant	impact upon	reducing economic	disparities. As such, it is unlikely to hav	e a significant impact on the objective.
of all residents particularly								
in areas of disadvantage EC3. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Modium	NI/A	N/A		
EUS. Ennance Trattord'S	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect		
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation	
image as a tourism destination	The propose objective.	ed policy is ι	unlikely to ha	ave a significant	impact upon	Trafford's image as	a tourism destination. As such, it is unl	kely to have a significant impac	t on the
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area and job creation.		
Trafford's Town Centres	Centre. By e supporting t	ensuring the he retention	protection of a facility	of these facilities that encourages	s unless there s visitors to th	is no current or future town centre and/c	mity to Trafford's town centres, such as ure need or demand for them, the policy or facilitates linked trips. There is howev wn centres is unknown.	could have a positive impact of	n this objective by
EC5. Improve the social	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A			
and environmental performance of the economy	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
Sustainability Summary	-								
	potential to have	e a major po					a number of objectives. In particular, b n of these facilities would also have a p		
travel further in order to acc on the environment; reducin	ess these faciliti g contributions	es, a policy to climate c	which provie hange; and	des protection to improving air q	o these faciliti uality. Given	es has the potentia that a number of th	s the loss of the sports and leisure faci I to have some positive impact on the c ese leisure facilities are located in clos providing support for the retention of fac	bjectives relating to reducing the proximity to town centres, the	ne effects of traffic e proposed policy
The proposed policy would r	ot have a negat	tive or uncer	tain impact	on any of the ob	jectives.				
					K	ey for effects			

++ major positive;

+ minor positive;

0 neutral; – minor negative;

-- major negative; ? uncertain

SP2 – School Playing Fields										
	Т	imescale				Nature of Ef	ffect			
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation		
Social		8		•	ê					
S1. Achieve a better	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	The propose on the object		unlikely to ha	ave a significant	t impact upon	achieving a better b	alance and mix in the housing market.	As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact		
S2. Improve accessibility	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term				
for all to essential services and facilities						rages their dual use	e by the wider community. The policy the impact on the objective.	erefore has the potential to have a positive		
S3. Enhance transport	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
infrastructure, improve	The propose	ed policy is u	unlikely to ha	ave a significant	t impact upon	transport infrastruct	ure and choice of travel mode. As such	, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the		
choice of travel mode and	objective.									
quality of life to all communities.										
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
and the fear of crime	The propose	ed policy is u	unlikely to ha	ave a significant	t impact upon	crime, disorder and	fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to h	have a significant impact on the objective.		
S5. Reduce poverty and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
social exclusion	The propose	ed policy is ι	unlikely to ha	ave a significant	t impact upon	poverty and social e	exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.		
S6. Encourage a sense of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
community identity and	The propose	ed policy is ι	unlikely to ha	ave a significant	t impact upon	community welfare	and identity. As such, it is unlikely to ha	ive a significant impact on the objective.		
welfare and value										
diversity, improve equity										
and equality of opportunity	0	0	0	Maaliuma	N1/A	N1/A		1		
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident		0	0 unlikaly ta ha	Medium	N/A	N/A	killa. Aa ayah it ia yalikaly ta haya a aia	nificant impact on the chiestive		
population	The propose	ed policy is t		<u> </u>	impact upon		kills. As such, it is unlikely to have a sig	nincant impact on the objective.		
S8. Improve the health	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life			
and, inequalities in health								y, the policy has the potential to have a major		
of the population								vel of certainty about this impact as the retention		
	of sports fac	cilities does	not guarante	ee that people w	/III choose to p	articipate in sport o	r recreation.			

SP2 – School Playing	Fields											
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
S9. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
local neighbourhood quality	The propose	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significant	impact upon	local neighbourhoo	d quality. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.				
Environment	-	-	-									
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.					
	near schools flows and im	The policy provides protection for school playing fields and encourages their dual use by the wider community. The policy could therefore reduce the need for residents living near schools to travel in order to access sports facilities. As such, the policy could have a positive impact on the objective and its sub-objectives relating to managing traffic flows and improving levels of traffic related noise. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any reduction in traffic flows associated with the policy would be significant.										
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space,	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.					
biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features				chool playing fie ve that relate to l			eas could be of some biodiversity value	e and, as such, the policy has the potential to				
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change					
	near schools policy could	s to travel in have a posi	order to ac tive impact	cess sports facil	ities which wo	ould result in an ass wever only a low lev	ociated reduction in greenhouse gas er	ould therefore reduce the need for residents living nissions associated with transport. As such, the uncertain whether any reduction in traffic flows				
E4. Reduce impact of	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term						
climate change							to support the objective by retaining are fore has the potential to have a positive	eas of open space which can help moderate the impact on the objective.				
E5. Reduce the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
environmental impacts of consumption and production	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											
E6. Conserve land	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term						

SP2 – School Playing	Fields										
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
resources and reduce land contamination				chool playing fie a positive impac			that these areas of greenfield land are r	ot released for development. The policy			
E7. Protect and improve	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.				
water quality							minimise incidences of surface water a act on the objective.	nd sewer flooding which can have a detrimental			
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses				
	near schools such, the po	The policy provides protection for school playing fields and encourages their dual use by the wider community. The policy could therefore reduce the need for residents living near schools to travel in order to access sports facilities which would result in an associated reduction in vehicular emissions that have a detrimental impact on air quality. As such, the policy could have a positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any reduction in raffic flows associated with the policy would have a significant impact on air quality.									
E9. Protect and enhance	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon townscape and landscape character. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
Economic	-	T	1	•							
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	The propose objective.	ed policy is t	unlikely to h	ave a significant	impact upon	Trafford's economic	c performance and economy. As such, i	t is unlikely to have a significant impact on the			
EC2. Reducing disparities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	The propose	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significant			disparities. As such, it is unlikely to hav	e a significant impact on the objective.			
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					

	T	imescale				Nature of Ef	ffect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
image as a tourism destination	The propose objective.	ed policy is ι	unlikely to h	ave a significant	impact upon	Trafford's image as	a tourism destination. As such, it is unli	ikely to have a significant impact on the			
EC4. Encourage the long	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	The propose objective.	he proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the sustainability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the									
EC5. Improve the social	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
and environmental performance of the economy				ave a significant	impact upon	the social and envir	onmental performance of Trafford's ecc	pnomy. As such, it is unlikely to have a			
performance of the				ave a significant	impact upon	the social and envir	onmental performance of Trafford's ecc	pnomy. As such, it is unlikely to have a			

improving water quality. The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.

Key for effects												
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain							

SP3 – Golf Courses								
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect	
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social	-		8				•	
S1. Achieve a better	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	The propose on the objec		unlikely to ha	ave a significant	impact upon	achieving a better b	palance and mix in the housing market.	As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact
S2. Improve accessibility	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
for all to essential services and facilities	The propose objective.	ed policy is ι	unlikely to ha	ave a significant	impact upon	access to essential	services and facilities. As such, it is un	ikely to have a significant impact on the
S3. Enhance transport	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
infrastructure, improve		ed policy is ι	unlikely to ha	ave a significant	impact upon	transport infrastruct	ture and choice of travel mode. As such	, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the
choice of travel mode and	objective.							
quality of life to all								
communities.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	•	•	v				foar of original As such it is unlikely to b	have a significant impact on the objective.
S5. Reduce poverty and	0			Medium	N/A	N/A		ave a significant impact on the objective.
social exclusion	•	•	v		1471		I exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective
S6. Encourage a sense of	0			Medium	N/A	N/A		a significant impact on the objective.
community identity and	•	•	v		-		and welfare. As such it is unlikely to ha	ve a significant impact on the objective.
welfare and value				are a orgrinicalit	impact apon			
diversity, improve equity								
and equality of opportunity								
S7. Improve qualifications	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and skills of the resident population	The propose	ed policy is ι	unlikely to ha	ave a significant	impact upon	qualifications and s	kills. As such, it is unlikely to have a sig	nificant impact on the objective.
S8. Improve the health	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	
and, inequalities in health								mpact on the objective by providing support for
of the population		•		e is not a high l	evel of certain	ty about this impact	as the retention of these facilities does	not guarantee that people will choose to
	participate ir	n sport or ex	ercise.					

SP3 – Golf Courses										
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect			
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation		
S9. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
local neighbourhood quality	The propose	ed policy is ι	Inlikely to h	ave a significant	impact upon	local neighbourhoo	d quality. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.		
Environment										
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.	Include an additional criterion that relates to the impact of new golf courses on the local highways network.		
	The policy provides protection for existing golf courses. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford residents having to travel further in order to access these facilities and, as such, the policy could have a positive impact on the objective and its sub-objectives relating to managing traffic flows and improving levels of traffic related noise. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of these facilities would be significant. In addition, the policy lists a series of criteria that will be used to judge new proposals for golf courses but this list of criteria does not include the impact of the proposals on traffic and the functioning of the local highways network.									
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space,	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.			
biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	to have a po	ositive impac n of natural l	t on the obj nabitats. As	ective. The polic such, the policy	cy also specifi	es that any new gol	f courses will be judged against, inter a	astructure functions. As such, it has the potential lia, the effect they would have on the positive effect on the sub-objective relating to		
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change			
	access thes	e facilities w	hich could r	esult in increase	ed greenhous	e gas emissions as		d residents having to travel further in order to er only a low level of certainty about this impact ct on climate change.		
E4. Reduce impact of	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term				
climate change							to support the objective by retaining ar fore has the potential to have a positive	eas of open space which can help moderate the e impact on the objective.		
E5. Reduce the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
environmental impacts of consumption and production	0 0 Medium N/A N/A The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.									

SP3 – Golf Courses											
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
E6. Conserve land	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term					
resources and reduce land contamination		or new golf c	ourses will	be judged again	st the effect th	ney would have on t		d for development. The policy also specifies that ultural land. The policy therefore has the potential			
E7. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
water quality	The propose	ed policy is ι	inlikely to h	ave a significant	impact upon	water quality. As su	ch, it is unlikely to have a significant im	pact on the objective.			
E8. Protect and improve air	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health,				
quality							particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses				
	access thes	The policy provides protection for existing golf courses. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford residents having to travel further in order to access these facilities which could result in increased vehicular emissions that have a detrimental impact on air quality. There is however only a low level of certainty about his impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would have a significant impact on air quality.									
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.				
distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	The policy specifies that proposals for new golf courses will be judged against the impact they would have on the landscape qualities of the area and specifically states the any proposals in the Mersey Valley and Bollin Valley Areas of Special Landscape Value will be particularly carefully scrutinised. The policy therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on landscape character. As such, it is unlikely to have a positive impact on the objective.										
Economic											
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	The propose objective.	ed policy is u	inlikely to h	ave a significant	impact upon	Trafford's economic	performance and economy. As such, i	t is unlikely to have a significant impact on the			
EC2. Reducing disparities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	The propose	ed policy is ι	inlikely to h	ave a significant	impact upon	reducing economic	disparities. As such, it is unlikely to hav	e a significant impact on the objective.			
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					

SP3 – Golf Courses											
	Т	imescale				Nature of Ef	ffect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
image as a tourism destination	The propose objective.	ed policy is u	unlikely to ha	ave a significant	t impact upon	Trafford's image as	a tourism destination. As such, it is unl	ikely to have a significant impact on the			
EC4. Encourage the long	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	The propose objective.	ed policy is u	unlikely to ha	ave a significant	t impact upon	the vitality and viabi	lity of Trafford's town centres. As such	, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the			
EC5. Improve the social	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
and environmental		The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a									
performance of the	significant in	significant impact on the objective.									
economy											
Sustainability Summary											
	tive impact on	the objectiv	e relating to	health. The po	licy could also	have a major posit	ive impact on the objective relating to o	ticipation in sport and exercise, the policy has the open space and biodiversity by seeking to protect			
The policy also has the potential to have a positive effect on a number of environmental objectives. Specifically, as the loss of existing golf courses could result in Trafford resident's having to travel further in order to access these facilities, a policy which provides protection to these facilities has the potential to have some positive impact on the objectives relating to reducing the effects of traffic on the environment; reducing contributions to climate change; and improving air quality. By providing support for the retention of these areas of open space, the policy could also have a positive effect on the objectives of reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and reduce surface water run-off. In addition, the policy would have some positive impact on the objectives concerned with conserving land resources and protecting landscapes.											
The proposed policy would no	t have a negat	ive or uncer	tain impact	on any of the ob	jectives.						
Γ						<i>c c c</i>					

	Key for effects											
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain							

SP4 – Opportunities f	- Opportunities for new/enhanced Sports and Leisure Facilities										
	Т	imescale									
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
Social											
S1. Achieve a better	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
balance and mix in the	The requirement to contribute towards the provision of new sports and leisure has a cost implication for developers and could have an adverse impact on viability,										
housing market between availability and demand	particularly in certain areas of the Borough which have a 'cold' housing market, if the costs associated with adhering to the policy are too great. This would have the potential to have a negative impact on housing delivery and the objective. The implementation of the policy is however supported by the Planning Obligations SPD and this document does provide scope for negotiation when viability is a concern. As such, policy SP4 is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
S2. Improve accessibility	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on guality of life				
for all to essential services	The policy s	eeks to ens	ure that nev			U U	, , , ,	eet the needs of its residents and stipulates that			
and facilities	these facilities should usually be provided on site. The policy also encourages new facilities to be accessible from the bus, metro and/or rail network and states that major wet/dry facilities should be in a town centre location. The policy should therefore help improve access to sports and leisure facilities and therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.										
S3. Enhance transport	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life				
infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	The policy seeks to ensure that new large scale residential development provides adequate sports and leisure facilities to meet the needs of its residents. The policy also encourages new facilities to be accessible from the bus, metro and/or rail network and states that major wet/dry facilities should be in a town centre location. The policy should therefore improve choice of travel mode and could also have a positive effect on the sub-objective relating to participation in walking and cycling.										
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
and the fear of crime	The propose	ed policy is ι	unlikely to h	ave a significant	t impact upon	crime, disorder and	fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to h	ave a significant impact on the objective.			
S5. Reduce poverty and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
social exclusion	The propose	ed policy is ι	unlikely to h	ave a significant	t impact upon	poverty and social e	exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.			
S6. Encourage a sense of	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term					
community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity								eet the needs of its residents and stipulates that uld have some positive effect on the objective.			
S7. Improve qualifications	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
and skills of the resident population	The propose	ed policy is t		ave a significant	t impact upon	qualifications and s	Kills. As such, it is unlikely to have a sig	nificant impact on the objective.			

SP4 – Opportunities f	or new/er	nhanced	Sports a	and Leisure	Facilities	\$				
	Т	imescale				Nature of Et				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation		
and, inequalities in health of the population	The policy seeks to ensure that new large scale residential development is supported by the provision of new sports and leisure facilities and specifies that these should usually be provided on site. The policy also encourages new facilities to be accessible from the bus, metro and/or rail network and states that such proposals should lead to improved access to sports facilities. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective by providing support for participation in sport However, there is not a high level of certainty about this impact as the retention of sports facilities does not guarantee that people will choose to participate in sport or exercise.									
S9. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
local neighbourhood	The propose	ed policy is ι	unlikely to h	ave a significant	t impact upon	local neighbourhood	d quality. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.		
quality Environment	<u> </u>									
E1. Reduce the effect of	+		-	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality	Include an additional criterion that relates to the		
traffic on the environment	т	T	т	Mediam	Local	Long term	and greenhouse gas emissions.	impact of new golf courses on the local highways network.		
	The policy seeks to ensure that new large scale residential development provides adequate sports and leisure facilities to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that these facilities should usually be provided on site. The policy also encourages new facilities to be accessible from the bus, metro and/or rail network and states that major wet/dry facilities should be in a town centre location. The policy should therefore reduce the need to travel to access sports and leisure facilities and ensure that these facilities can be accessed by sustainable modes of transport. In addition, the policy requires proposals for new sports and leisure facilities to not lead to significant traffic congestion or road safety problems. Consequently, the policy could have a positive impact on the objective and its sub-objectives relating to managing traffic flows and improving levels of traffic related noise.									
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space,	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.			
biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	The policy requires proposals for the development of new or refurbishment of existing sports and leisure facilities to not have an unacceptable impact on open space or biodiversity. As such, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.									
E3. Reduce contributions	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term				
to climate change	The policy seeks to ensure that new large scale residential development provides adequate sports and leisure facilities to meet the needs of its residents and these facilities should usually be provided on site. The policy also encourages new facilities to be accessible from the bus, metro and/or rail network and state wet/dry facilities should be in a town centre location. The policy should therefore reduce the need to travel to access sports and leisure facilities to not lead to signification or road safety problems. Consequently, the policy could have a positive impact by reducing traffic and associated carbon emissions.									
			.,							

SP4 – Opportunities f	or new/er	nhanced	Sports a	and Leisure	e Facilities	5					
	Timescale					Nature of E					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
climate change	The policy requires proposals for the development of new or refurbishment of existing sports and leisure facilities to not have an unacceptable impact on flood storage. As such, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.										
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	0 0 Medium N/A N/A The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	0 The propos	0 ed policy is u	0 unlikely to h	Medium ave a significant	N/A t impact upon	N/A land resources and	land contamination. As such, it is unlike	ely to have a significant impact on the objective.			
E7. Protect and improve water quality	0 The propose	0 ed policy is u	0 unlikely to h	Medium ave a significant	N/A t impact upon	N/A water quality. As su	ch, it is unlikely to have a significant im	pact on the objective.			
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses				
	The policy seeks to ensure that new large scale residential development provides adequate sports and leisure facilities to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that these facilities should usually be provided on site. The policy also encourages new facilities to be accessible from the bus, metro and/or rail network and states that major wet/dry facilities should be in a town centre location. The policy should therefore reduce the need to travel to access sports and leisure facilities and would ensure that these facilities can be accessed by sustainable modes of transport. In addition, the policy requires proposals for new sports and leisure facilities to not lead to significant traffic congestion or road safety problems. Consequently, the policy could have a positive impact by reducing traffic and associated vehicular emissions.										
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.				
distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	The policy requires proposals for the development of new or refurbishment of existing sports and leisure facilities to be well designed and appropriately landscaped. The policy also specifies that proposals for sports and recreational activities in a countryside location should be of a scale and nature that is appropriate to their landscape setting. As such, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.										
Economic											
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					

SP4 – Opportunities f	or new/er	hanced	Sports a	and Leisure	Facilities	;					
	Т	imescale				Nature of E					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	O O Medium N/A N/A The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
image as a tourism destination	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's image as a tourism destination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area and job creation.				
Trafford's Town Centres	The policy requires major wet/dry sports facilities to be in a town centre. This could have a positive impact on the sustainability of Trafford's town centres by attracting visitors to the centre and encouraging linked trips. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as the extent to which existing leisure facilities encourage linked trips to Trafford's town centres is unknown.										
EC5. Improve the social	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
and environmental performance of the economy	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
Sustainability Summary	-										

SP4 – Opportunities f	or new/enl	hanced	Sports a	nd Leisure	Facilities	;						
	Ti	Timescale Nature of Effect										
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
sport and exercise, the policy h The policy would also ensure												

The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.

		Key fo	r effects		
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain

SP5 – Loss of Leisure	e and Spo	rts Facil	ities									
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
Social												
S1. Achieve a better	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant in on the objective.											
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term						
and facilities		The policy provides protection for indoor sports facilities unless there is no current or future need or demand for them. It therefore has the potential to have a positive impa on the objective by supporting the retention of these local facilities.										
S3. Enhance transport	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	The propose objective.	ed policy is ı	unlikely to h	ave a significant	t impact upon	transport infrastruct	ture and choice of travel mode. As such	, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the				
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
and the fear of crime	The propose	ed policy is ι	unlikely to h	ave a significant	t impact upon	crime, disorder and	fear of crime. As such, it is unlikely to h	have a significant impact on the objective.				
S5. Reduce poverty and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
social exclusion	The propose	ed policy is ι	unlikely to h	ave a significant	t impact upon	poverty and social e	exclusion. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.				
S6. Encourage a sense of	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term						
community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity						nere is no current or al community facilitie		refore has the potential to have a positive impact				
S7. Improve qualifications	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
and skills of the resident population	The propose	ed policy is ι	unlikely to h	ave a significant	t impact upon	qualifications and s	kills. As such, it is unlikely to have a sig	nificant impact on the objective.				
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life					

SP5 – Loss of Leisur	e and Spo	rts Facil	ities									
	T	imescale	1			Nature of E	ffect					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
of the population	major positi	ve impact or	n the objecti	ve by providing	support for pa		However, there is not a high level of ce	equently, the policy has the potential to have a rtainty about this impact as the retention of sports				
S9. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
local neighbourhood quality	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											
Environment	-											
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.					
	result in Tra objectives r	ifford resider elating to ma	nts having to anaging trafi	travel further i fic flows and im	n order to acc proving levels	ess these facilities a	nd, as such, the policy could have a po se. There is however only a low level o	ecognised that the loss of these facilities could sitive impact on the objective and its sub- f certainty about this impact as it is uncertain				
E2. Protect, enhance and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	The propose objective.	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significan	t impact upon	open space, biodive	ersity and geological features. As such,	it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the				
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change					
-	result in Tra	ifford resider wever only a	nts having to I low level o	o travel further i f certainty abou	n order to acc	ess these facilities w	which could result in increased greenho	ecognised that the loss of these facilities could use gas emissions associated with transport. ng from the loss of a leisure facility would have a				
E4. Reduce impact of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
climate change	The propose objective.	ed policy is u	unlikely to h	ave a significan	t effect upon e	efforts to reduce the	impacts of climate change. As such, it	is unlikely to have a significant impact on the				
E5. Reduce the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						

	e and Spo	rts Facil	ities							
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect			
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation		
environmental impacts of consumption and production	The propose impact on the		unlikely to h	ave a significant			As such, it is unlikely to have a significant			
E6. Conserve land	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
resources and reduce land contamination	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources and land contamination. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the obje									
E7. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
water quality	The propose	ed policy is i	unlikely to h	ave a significant	t impact upon	water quality. As su	ich, it is unlikely to have a significant im	pact on the objective.		
E8. Protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses			
	result in Tra	fford resider	nts having to er only a low	travel further in level of certain	n order to acce	ess these facilities w	which could result in increased vehicular	ecognised that the loss of these facilities could emissions that have a detrimental impact on air resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would		
E9. Protect and enhance	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
the diversity and distinctiveness of	The propose	ed policy is i	unlikely to h	ave a significant	t impact upon	townscape and land	dscape character. As such, it is unlikely	to have a cignificant impact on the objective		
landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities								to have a significant impact on the objective.		
character and cultural										
character and cultural facilities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
character and cultural facilities Economic	-	-					performance and economy. As such, i	t is unlikely to have a significant impact on the		

		imescale				Nature of E	ffect	
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage		ed policy is t	unlikely to h	ave a significan	t impact upon	reducing economic	disparities. As such, it is unlikely to h	ave a significant impact on the objective.
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
image as a tourism destination	The propos objective.	ed policy is i	unlikely to h	ave a significan	t impact upon	Trafford's image as	a tourism destination. As such, it is u	inlikely to have a significant impact on the
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area and job creation.	
EC5. Improve the social and environmental	as the exter	nt to which e	xisting leisu	re facilities enco Medium	ourage linked N/A	trips to Trafford's to N/A	wn centres is unknown.	vever only a low level of certainty about this im
performance of the economy	significant in			ave a significan	i inipaci upon			conomy. As such, it is unlikely to have a
Sustainability Summary								
exercise, the policy has the to services and facilities; and The policy also has the pote travel further in order to acc	potential to have d community we ential to have a ess these faciliti	e a major po lfare. positive effe ies, a policy	esitive impare ect on a nur which provi	ct on the objecti mber of environ des protection t	ve relating to mental object o these faciliti	health. The retentio ives. Specifically, a ies has the potentia	n of these facilities would also have a s the loss of the sports and leisure fa l to have some positive impact on the	r, by providing support for participation in spo a positive effect on the objectives relating to a acilities could result in Trafford resident's hav e objectives relating to reducing the effects of lose proximity to town centres, the proposed
	fect on the ecor	nomic object	ive relating	to the sustainab	ility of Trafford			facilities that attract visitors to these centres.
The proposed policy would r	not have a nega	tive or uncer	tain impact	on any of the of				
The proposed policy would r	iot have a nega	tive or uncer	tain impact	on any of the of	ojectives.			
The proposed policy would r	++ major po		·	on any of the of		ey for effects	r negative; – – major ne	gative: ? uncertain

Appendix U

Open Space

Open Space	Policy Options
Option 1	Protect and maintain the existing provision of open space for the present population and in areas of significant new population growth look to increase quantity of open space by providing new areas within Strategic Locations and suitable strategic green infrastructure sites. In addition, improve the quality and accessibility of open spaces by upgrading them into and within the parks hierarchy.
Option 2	Carry forward the UDP policy identifying only the areas for improvement that have not been already completed.

Open Space Policy O	ptions								
		Т	imescal	e			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social	•				-	-		•	
S1. Achieve a better	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
balance and mix in the	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
housing market between availability and demand					ment of open sp significant effect			cant impact upon achieving a bet	ter balance and mix in the housing market and,
S2. Improve accessibility	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality	
for all to essential services and facilities	Option 2	?	?	?	Low	Local	Long term	of life	Promote the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations.
	access to the	se importa	nt local fa	cilities. By	contrast, the al	ternative op		uncertain impact on the objective	ositive impact on the objective by improving as this approach would not support the provision
S3. Enhance transport	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
infrastructure, improve	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
choice of travel mode and							ely to have a signifi	cant impact upon transport infras	tructure and choice of travel mode. As such, both
quality of life to all communities.	options are ur	nlikely to h	ave a sigr	nificant imp	pact on the obje	ctive.			
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and the fear of crime	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach unlikely to have					bace is unlik	ely to have a signifi	cant impact upon crime, disorder	and fear of crime. As such, both options are
S5. Reduce poverty and	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
social exclusion	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

Open Space Policy O	ptions								
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
	The approach to have a sign					pace is unlik	ely to have a signif	icant impact upon poverty and so	ocial exclusion. As such, both options are unlikely
S6. Encourage a sense of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
community identity and	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity								is and could therefore have a pos option is unlikely to have a signif	sitive impact on the objective by leading to the icant impact on the objective.
S7. Improve qualifications	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and skills of the resident	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
population	The approach have a signific				ement of open s	pace is unlik	ely to have a signif	icant impact upon qualifications a	and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to
S8. Improve the health	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality	
and, inequalities in health	Option 2	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	of life	
of the population	and recreation	n. Option 1 ew areas c	is howev of open sp	er likely to ace in are	o have a more s eas of significan	ignificant im	pact on the objectiv	e as it would not only improve ex	objective by encouraging participation in sport kisting open space but would also lead to the nts to open space based on the most up-to-date
S9. Protect and improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
local neighbourhood	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
quality	The approach to have a sign					pace is unlik	ely to have a signif	icant impact upon local neighbou	rhood quality. As such, both options are unlikely
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on	
traffic on the environment	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term	greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the provision of areas of new open
								and air quality.	space in the Strategic Locations.
	to-date inform areas to trave	ation abou l in order t ed improve	it current o o access o	deficiencie open spac	es in provision. ce. By contrast,	This option of the alternation	could therefore have ve option would not	e a positive impact on the objecti t support the provision of new op	rovements to open space based on the most up- ve by eliminating the need for residents in these en space in the Strategic Locations and would not sion. Option 2 could therefore have a negative

Open Space Policy O	ptions													
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Eff	ect						
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation					
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space,	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on the image of the local area						
biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-	•	Option 2 + + Medium Borough wide Long term Both options would lead to improvements to areas of existing open space and could therefore have a positive effect on the element of the objective that relates to enhancing												
morphological features	open space a as it would no	nd its sub- t only impi	objective ove existi	of ensurin ng open s	g residents hav pace but would	e access to also lead to	high quality open sp the provision of ne	pace. Option 1 is however likely t	o have a more significant impact on the objective of significant new population growth and would					
E3. Reduce contributions	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts						
to climate change	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term	associated with climate change	Promote the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations.					
	areas to trave not support th	l in order t e provisio	o access on of new o	open spac	e, which would in the Strategi	have a posi c Locations	tive impact on carbo and would not deliv	on emissions from the transport s	ve by eliminating the need for residents in these sector. By contrast, the alternative option would n space based on the most up-to-date					
E4. Reduce impact of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on						
climate change	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term	biodiversity	Promote the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations.					
	change by he	lping to mo	oderate hig	gher sumr	ner temperature	es and also		urface water run-off. By not supp	itive impact on reducing the impacts of climate orting the provision of new open space in the					
E5. Reduce the	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
environmental impacts of	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
consumption and								cant direct impact upon the envir	onmental impacts of consumption and production.					
production	As such, both	options a	e unlikely	to have a	significant impa	act on the ol	ojective.							
E6. Conserve land	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
resources and reduce land	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A							
contamination	The approach unlikely to have					pace is unlik	ely to have a signifi	cant impact upon land resources	and contamination. As such, both options are					

Open Space Policy O	ptions								
		T	imesca	е			Nature of Effe	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E7. Protect and improve	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on	
vater quality	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term	biodiversity	Promote the provision of areas of new open space in the Strategic Locations.
	moderate sur	face water	run-off ar	nd minimis	e incidences of	surface wat	er and sewer flooding		itive impact on local water quality by helping to impact on water quality. By not supporting the n the objective.
E8. Protect and improve air	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
quality	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health	Secure provision of open space in Strategic Locations
E9. Protect and enhance	about current						negative impact on N/A		pace based on the most up-to-date information
	Option 1	•	•	-					
he diversity and distinctiveness of	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
andscape and townscape character and cultural	unlikely to hav					Dace is unlik	ely to have a signifi	cant impact upon townscape and	landscape character. As such, both options ar
acilities									
Economic EC1. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
Economic C1. Enhance Trafford's ligh performance and	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
Economic EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional	Option 2 The approach	0 to the pro	0 vision and	0 d enhance	Medium	N/A bace is unlik	N/A	cant impact upon Trafford's econ	omic performance and economy. As such, both
facilities Economic EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential	Option 2 The approach	0 to the pro	0 vision and	0 d enhance	Medium ment of open sp	N/A bace is unlik	N/A	cant impact upon Trafford's econ	omic performance and economy. As such, both

		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
of all residents particularly	The approach	to the pro	vision and	d enhance	ment of open s	pace is unlik	kely to have a signifi	cant impact upon reducing dispar	ities. As such, both options are unlikely to hav
n areas of disadvantage	significant imp	pact on the	objective						
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
mage as a tourism	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
estination						pace is unlik	ely to have a signifi	icant impact upon Trafford's image	e as a tourism destination. As such, both optic
	are unlikely to	have a si	gnificant ir	npact on t	the objective.				
EC4. Encourage the long	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
erm sustainability of	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
Frafford's Town Centres	The approach	to the pro	vision and	d enhance	ment of open s	pace is unlik	ely to have a signifi	cant impact upon the sustainabilit	y of Trafford's town centres. As such, both
	options are ur	nlikely to h	ave a sigr	ificant imp	pact on the obje	ctive.			
EC5. Improve the social	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and environmental	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
performance of the	The approach	to the pro	vision and	d enhance	ment of open s	pace is unlik	ely to have a signifi	cant impact upon the social and e	environmental performance of Trafford's
economy	economy. As	such, both	options a	re unlikely	to have a sign	ificant impa	ct on the objective.		
Sustainability Summary	-								
Both of the proposed approac	ches to the pro	vision and	enhance	ment of o	open space hav	ve the pote	ntial to have a pos	itive impact on a number of obje	ectives. In particular, both options would del
									on 1 is however likely to have a more signific
									nificant new population growth and would del
targeted improvements to oper									
By supporting the provision of	areas of new of	pen space	in the Str	ategic Loo	cations and deli	vering targe	eted improvements t	to open space based on the most	up-to-date information about current deficien
n provision, Option 1 could rea	duce the need for	or resident	ts to trave	l in order f	o access open	space and o	could therefore have	e a positive impact on the objectiv	es relating to reducing the effects of traffic on
environment; access to servic	es and facilities	; air qualit	y; and co	ntributions	s to climate cha	inge. By co	ntrast, the alternativ	ve option would have a negative	or uncertain impact on each of these objecti
Option 1 would also have a po	sitive impact on	the object	tives relati	na to com	munity welfare.	reducing th	e impacts of climate	e change, and water quality, where	eas Option 2 could have a negative impact or

Option 1 would also have a positive impact on the objectives relating to community welfare; reducing the impacts of climate change; and water qu objectives that relate to reducing the impacts of climate change; and water quality.

Γ			Key fo	or effects		
	++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain

OS1 – Parks a	and Open Spaces								
Option 1	Policy to identify, protect and seek to enhance existing open spaces								
No alternative options considered									

OS1 – Parks and Ope	n Spaces								
		Т	imesca	e			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social		-							
S1. Achieve a better	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	The proposed on the objectiv		unlikely to	have a się	gnificant impact	upon achiev	ving a better balanc	e and mix in the housing market.	As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
and facilities	The policy pro facilities.	ovides prot	ection for	areas of c	open space and	therefore ha	as the potential to h	ave a positive impact on the object	ctive by supporting the retention of these local
S3. Enhance transport	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	The proposed objective.	l policy is ι	unlikely to	have a siç	gnificant impact	upon transp	ort infrastructure ar	nd choice of travel mode. As such	, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and the fear of crime	The proposed	l policy is ι	unlikely to	have a sig	gnificant impact	upon crime,	disorder and fear of	of crime. As such, it is unlikely to h	have a significant impact on the objective.
S5. Reduce poverty and	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
social exclusion	The proposed	l policy is ι	unlikely to	have a sig	gnificant impact	upon pover	y and social exclus	ion. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
welfare and value diversity, improve equity								ncements to these areas. It is reco ential to have a positive impact up	ognised that the provision of open space can
and equality of opportunity					itai well-belliy.		erefore has the pot		
S7. Improve qualifications	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

OS1 – Parks and Ope	n Spaces												
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Eff	ect					
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
and skills of the resident population	The proposed	policy is u	inlikely to	have a się	gnificant impact	upon qualif	ications and skills. A	As such, it is unlikely to have a sig	nificant impact on the objective.				
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life					
of the population	The policy provides protection for existing areas of open space and seeks to secure enhancements to these areas. Consequently, the policy has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective by providing support for participation in sport and recreation. However, there is not a high level of certainty about this impact as the retention of sports facilities does not guarantee that people will choose to participate in sport or recreation.												
S9. Protect and improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
local neighbourhood quality	The proposed	policy is u	inlikely to	have a się	gnificant impact	upon local i	neighbourhood qua	ity. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.				
Environment													
E1. Reduce the effect of	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Borough	Long term	Secondary impacts on air					
traffic on the environment						wide	-	quality and greenhouse gas					
								emissions.					
	result in Traffor	ord resider naging trat	it's having ffic flows a	to travel	further in order ving levels of tra	to access op affic related	pen space and, as s	such, the policy could have a posi vever only a low level of certainty	ognised that the loss of these facilities could tive impact on the objective and its sub-objectives about this impact as it is uncertain whether any				
E2. Protect, enhance and	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Borough	Long term	Secondary impacts on					
restore open space,						wide		perceptions of the area.					
biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-	The policy pro impact on the	vides prot	ection for	existing a	reas of open sp pace and its su	ace and see	eks to secure enhar of ensuring resident	ncements to these areas. It thereft is have access to high quality ope	ore has the potential to have a major positive n space. Protecting areas of open space would				
morphological features					hat relate to bio								
E3. Reduce contributions	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts					
to climate change			-	-				associated with climate					
U								change					
	result in Traffo is however on	The policy provides protection for existing areas of open space and seeks to secure enhancements to these areas. It is recognised that the loss of these facilities could result in Trafford resident's having to travel further in order to access open space which could result in increased greenhouse gas emissions associated with transport. The is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic flows resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would have a significant impact on climate change.											

OS1 – Parks and Ope	n Spaces								
		Т	imesca	e			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
E4. Reduce impact of climate change									ining areas of open space which can help nave a positive impact on the objective.
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	Option 1 The proposed impact on the			0 have a si	Medium gnificant impact	N/A upon the er	N/A nvironmental impact	ts of consumption and production.	As such, it is unlikely to have a significant
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land contamination	surplus of ope	en space ir	n the area	or the rel		part of the s			t released for development unless there is a ment of the rest of the area. The policy therefore
E7. Protect and improve water quality							Long term ff and minimise incio ve impact on the ob		er flooding which can have a detrimental impact
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses	
	result in Traffo quality. There have a signific	ord resider is howeve cant impac	nt's having er only a lo et on air qu	g to travel ow level of uality. Part	further in order certainty about	to access of this impact designated	pen space which co as it is uncertain w	uld result in increased vehicular e hether any increase in traffic flows	ognised that the loss of these facilities could missions that have a detrimental impact on air s resulting from the loss of a leisure facility would greenspace, and the trees within them, could help
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	

OS1 – Parks and Ope	n Spaces											
		Т	imesca	le			Nature of Eff	ect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Stamford Park integral to the	c in Altrinc	ham is on and settir	the Regis	ster of Parks and	d Gardens o ch as the gr	of Special Historic In ade II listed Gorse I	terest. In addition, there are a nur	ord Park in Stretford is a conservation area and mber of instances where areas of open space are uently, by providing protection for areas of open			
Economic												
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	objective.	policy is t	iniikeiy to	nave a si	gnificant impact	upon Traπc	ra s economic perio	ormance and economy. As such, i	t is unlikely to have a significant impact on the			
EC2. Reducing disparities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
image as a tourism destination	The proposed objective.	policy is u	inlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon Traffo	ord's image as a tou	rism destination. As such, it is unl	ikely to have a significant impact on the			
EC4. Encourage the long	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	The proposed objective.	policy is u	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon the su	ustainability of Traffo	ord's town centres. As such, it is u	inlikely to have a significant impact on the			
EC5. Improve the social	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
and environmental performance of the economy	The proposed significant imp				gnificant impact	upon the so	ocial and environme	ntal performance of Trafford's eco	nomy. As such, it is unlikely to have a			
Sustainability Summary												

OS1 – Parks and Ope	n Spaces								
		T	imescal	е			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective Option 0-5 5-10 10+ Certainty Scale Permanence Secondary, cumulative, synergistic Mitigation									Mitigation
and thereby have a major pos	itive impact on ss to high quali	the objecti ty open sp	ive relatin	g to healt	h. The policy w	ould also h	ave a major positive	e effect on the objective that see	le support for participation in sport and recreation ks to protect open space and its sub-objective of ive effect on the objectives relating to access to
order to access these facilities	, by providing pr	rotection to	o existing	areas of c	pen space the	policy has t	he potential to have	some positive impact on the obje	ult in Trafford resident's having to travel further in ectives relating to reducing the effects of traffic on effect on the objective of reducing the impacts of

climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and reduce surface water run-off. The policy could also help protect and enhance the setting of a number of heritage assets and therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to landscape and townscape character. In addition, the policy would have some positive impact on the objectives concerned with conserving land resources and improving water quality.

The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.

		Key fo	r effects		
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain

OS2 – Opport	tunities for new/enhancements to existing Open Spaces								
Option 1	Policy to set out open space requirements for new development								
No alternative options considered									

OS2 – Opportunities	for new/enh	nancem	nents to	o existi	ng Open Sj	oaces					
		Т	imesca	e			Nature of Eff	ect			
SA Objective	Option	0-5	5-10	10+	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative,	Mitigation		
		years	years	years				synergistic			
Social											
S1. Achieve a better	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
balance and mix in the	The requirement to contribute towards the provision of open space has a cost implication for developers and could have an adverse impact on viability, particularly in certain										
housing market between									his would have the potential to have a negative		
availability and demand									tions SPD and this document does provide		
			hen viabili					significant impact on the objective	9.		
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term				
and facilities									ulates that open space should usually be nich, such as Pomona, are located in areas that		
and facilities									he policy therefore has the potential have a		
								ovided with appropriate access to			
S3. Enhance transport	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term				
infrastructure, improve	The policy spe	ecifies that	t any oper	space or	green routes p	roposed in n		ould be provided in a way that pr	omotes non-motorised active travel, such as		
choice of travel mode and	walking and cycling. The proposed use of the site therefore has the potential to have some positive impact on the objective and its sub-objective of improving participation in										
quality of life to all	walking and c	ycling.									
communities.			1	n	1						
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
and the fear of crime		· · ·	· · · ·					of crime. As such, it is unlikely to h	nave a significant impact on the objective.		
S5. Reduce poverty and	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
social exclusion		policy is t	unlikely to					ion. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.		
S6. Encourage a sense of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term				
community identity and									ulates that open space should usually be		
welfare and value diversity, improve equity	provided on si	ite. I nroug	yn securin	g the prov	vision of these C	ommunity fa	cinties the policy co	uld have some positive effect on	the objective.		
and equality of opportunity											

OS2 – Opportunities	for new/enl	hancem	nents to	o existi	ng Open Sj	oaces						
		Т	imesca	le			Nature of Eff	ect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
S7. Improve qualifications	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
and skills of the resident population	The proposed	l policy is ι	unlikely to	have a sig	gnificant impact	upon qualif	ications and skills. A	As such, it is unlikely to have a sig	nificant impact on the objective.			
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life				
of the population	provided on si Consequently	ite. It spec , the policy	ifies that r y has the	new open potential t	space should pi o have a major	rovide oppor positive imp	rtunities for recreation act on the objective	on and also requires new open sp	vulates that open space should usually be bace to promote walking and cycling. tion in sport and recreation. However, there is participate in sport or recreation.			
S9. Protect and improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
local neighbourhood quality	The proposed	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon local neighbourhood quality. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
Environment												
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.				
	The policy seeks to ensure that new development provides adequate open space to meet the needs of its residents and stipulates that open space should usual provided on site. The policy could therefore reduce the need for resident's to travel in order to access open space. In addition, the policy specifies that any open green routes proposed in new development should be provided in a way that promotes non-motorised active travel, such as walking and cycling. The policy the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.											
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space,	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.				
biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features The policy seeks to ensure that new development provides adequate open space to meet the specifies that any open space provided should promote opportunities for biodiversity. The policy of enhancing open space and its sub-objective of ensuring residents have access to high que biodiversity.								the needs of its residents or delive policy therefore has the potential	to have a major positive impact on the objective			
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change				

OS2 – Opportunities	for new/enł	nancem	ents to	o existi	ng Open S	oaces					
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Eff	ect			
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation		
	provided on si associated wit	ite. The po th transpo	licy could t. In addit	therefore ion, the p	e reduce the nee olicy specifies the	d for reside nat any oper	nt's to travel in orde	r to access open space and could	ulates that open space should usually be therefore reduce greenhouse gas emissions at should be provided in a way that promotes the objective.		
E4. Reduce impact of climate change	provided on si	ite. It there	fore has t	he potent	tial to support the	e objective l	by retaining areas of	f open space which can help mod	ulates that open space should usually be erate the higher summer temperatures and also		
		1			· · · ·		nave a positive impa	act on the objective.			
E5. Reduce the environmental impacts of consumption and production	sumption and impact on the objective.							As such, it is unlikely to have a significant			
E6. Conserve land	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
resources and reduce land contamination	The proposed	ne proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources and contaminated land. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.									
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.			
								ater run-off and minimise incidenc a positive impact on the objective.	es of surface water and sewer flooding which can		
E8. Protect and improve air	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health,			
quality	provided on si open space a	ite and pro nd could th	motes no nerefore re	n-motoris educe veł	ed active travel, nicular emission	such as wa s associated	lking and cycling. T d with transport. In a	he policy could therefore reduce t	ulates that open space should usually be he need for resident's to travel in order to access gnated as an AQMA and the provision of new		
E9. Protect and enhance	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	The proposed	policy is u	Inlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon Traffc	ord's townscape and	l landscapes. As such, it is unlikel	y to have a significant impact on the objective.		

OS2 – Opportunities	for new/enh	nancem	ents to	o existi	ng Open Sp	oaces						
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Effe	ect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
Economic	-	•							•			
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
high performance and	The requireme	ent to cont	ribute tow	ards the p	provision of oper	n space has	a cost implication for	or developers and could have an	adverse impact on development viability if the			
sustainable economy to		costs associated with adhering to the policy are too great. This would have the potential to have a negative impact on development and could have a negative effect on the										
provide a powerful								ons SPD and this document does	provide scope for negotiation when viability is a			
contribution to regional	concern. As s	uch, policy	/ OS2 is u	nlikely to	have a significa	nt impact on	the objective.					
growth		1										
EC2. Reducing disparities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
by releasing the potential	I he proposed	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon reducing economic disparities. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
of all residents particularly												
in areas of disadvantage	Ontion 1	0	0	0		NI/A	N1/A					
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1		U unlikalv ta	0	Medium	N/A	N/A	riam doctingtion. As such it is unl	ikaly ta haya a ajanifiaant imnaat an tha			
image as a tourism destination		policy is t	uniikely to	nave a si	gnincant impact	upon Trano	ro s image as a toui	nsm destination. As such, it is uni	ikely to have a significant impact on the			
	objective.	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of	Option 1		U uplikoly to					rd'a tawn aantraa. Aa ayah it ia y	l Inlikely to have a significant impact on the			
Trafford's Town Centres	objective.	policy is t		nave a si	grinicant impact	upon the st		nu s town centres. As such, it is u	initikely to have a significant impact on the			
EC5. Improve the social	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
and environmental		•	•	•				ntal performance of Trafford's eco	nomy. As such, it is unlikely to have a			
performance of the	significant imp				5							
economy	- 3											
Sustainability Summary												

OS2 – Opportunities for new/enhancements to existing Open Spaces											
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Effe	ect			
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Mitigation				
The proposed policy has the potential to have a positive impact on a number of objectives. In particular, by requiring development to provide open space or enhance existing open space, the policy could provide support for participation in sport and recreation and thereby have a major positive impact on the objective relating to health. The policy would also have a major positive effect on the objective that seeks to protect open space and its sub-objective of ensuring residents have access to high quality open space. In addition, the provision of open space to meet the needs of residents would have a positive effect on the objectives relating to access to services and facilities; and community welfare; and the requirement for new open space to be accessible by non-motorised forms of travel, such as walking and cycling, mean that the policy could have a positive impact on the objective relating to choice of travel mode and its sub-objective of improving participation in walking and cycling.											
cycling, mean that the policy could have a positive impact on the objective relating to choice of travel mode and its sub-objective of improving participation in walking and cycling. The policy also has the potential to have a positive effect on several environmental objectives. Specifically, by requiring the provision of new open space to meet the needs of the residents of new development the policy could help reduce the need to travel to access these facilities and could thereby have some positive impact on the objectives relating to reducing the effects of traffic on the environment; reducing contributions to climate change; and improving air quality. The provision of new areas of open space could also have a positive effect on the objective of reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and reduce surface water run-off and also have a positive effect on the objective concerned with water quality.											

The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.

		Key fo	r effects		
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain

Site Address	Cavendish Road, Bowdon		
Site Reference	CFS07-1070-36;		
Proposed Use	Protected Open Space	Site Area	0.54 ha

Cavendish Road, Bowdon												
		Timescale	•			Nature of Eff	ect					
SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
Social												
S1. Achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market	0 The use of the objectiv		0 protected ope	Medium en space would no	N/A ot result in the l	N/A oss of a previously i	dentified housing site and would be unlik	kely to have any significant impact on				
S2. Improve accessibility for all	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
to services and facilities	The use of	he use of the site as protected open space is unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.										
S3. Enhance transport	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
infrastructure; improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.			site as prote the objective		would be unlik	ely to have a signific	cant impact upon choice of travel mode.	As such, it would be unlikely to have				
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
the fear of crime				ected open space on the objective.	would be unlik	ely to have a signific	cant impact upon crime, disorder and fea	ar of crime. As such, it would be				
S5. Reduce poverty and social	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
exclusion							eration Area and the identification of the buld be unlikely to have a significant imp					
S6. Encourage a sense of	0	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term						
community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	The provision of protected open space could have a positive impact on community welfare and identity and the proposed use therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective.											
S7. Improve qualifications and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
skills of the resident population				ected open space act on the objecti		ely to have a signific	cant impact on the qualification and skills	s of the population. As such, it would				

Cavendish Road, Bowdor								
		Timescale	9			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in health of the population	0	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	Secure the provision replacement open space.
	participatio as deficien open space	n and sport t in protected e does not g	and recreation d open space uarantee tha	on and could there e by the Trafford (t local residents w	efore have som Greenspace Str vould participate	e positive impact on ategy. There is how e in sport and recrea	open space has the potential to provide the objective, particularly as the site is ever only a low level of certainty over th ation. It is also recognised that the site is t in enhanced opportunities for protecte	located in an area that was classified is impact as the provision of protected s presently used as a tennis club and
S9. Protect and improve local	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
neighbourhood quality							It would not however result in the improvent of the second s	ovement of any neglected land/sites
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic	0	0	0	Low	N/A	N/A		
on the environment	the creation to travel in	n of protecte	d open spac	e in an area that i d open space. Ne	s deficient in Lo	ocal Open Space wh	Trafford Greenspace Strategy. The pro- nich could have some impact on traffic b use of the site as protected open space.	y reducing the need for local residents
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-	0	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on image of the local area	
morphological features	the creation		of protected				Trafford Greenspace Strategy. The pro Space. As such, the proposed use has	
E3. Reduce contributions to	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
climate change			e site as prote pact on the c		would be unlik	ely to have a signific	cant impact upon contributions to climate	e change. As such, it would be unlikely
E4. Reduce impact of climate	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
change				ected open space on the objective.	would be unlik	ely to have a signific	cant impact upon reducing the impacts of	of climate change. As such, it would be

Cavendish Road, Bowdon												
	-	Timescale)			Nature of Ef	fect					
SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
impacts of consumption and production		he proposed use of the site would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the environmental impacts of consumption and production. As such, it is unlikely to ave any significant effects on the objective.										
E6. Conserve land resources and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
reduce land contamination							database or the Trafford Contaminated ninated land and is unlikely to have a sig					
E7. Protect and improve water	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
quality							e would not result in the remediation of a osed use would be unlikely to have a sig					
E8. Protect and improve air	0	0	0	Low	N/A	N/A						
quality	in the creat	The site is located in an area that was classified as deficient in protected open space by the Trafford Greenspace Strategy. The proposed use would therefore result in the creation of protected open space in an area that is deficient in Local Open Space which could have some impact on traffic, and associated vehicular emissions, by reducing the need for local residents to travel in order to access protected open space. Nevertheless, it is considered that any reduction in traffic is unlikely to be significant and, as such, the use of the site as protected open space would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.										
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of landscape and townscape	0	?	?	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area	Use of appropriate landscaping to minimise the visual impact of the development.				
character and cultural facilities	designated	heritage as	sets, particul	arly the Downs C	onservation Ar	ea. However, it is di	tected open space does have the poten fficult to determine whether any such im ncertain impact on the objective.					
Economic												
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth		The use of the site as protected open space is a non-economic use and would not result in the loss of a previously identified employment site. As such, the proposed use of the site would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.										
EC2. Reducing disparities by	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage				en space is unlike act on the objectiv		ignificant number of	employment opportunities or help reduc	ce economic disparities. As such, it is				
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						

		Timescale	•			Nature of Eff	fect						
SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation					
as a tourism destination		The use of the site as protected open space is unlikely to have any significant impact on the image of Trafford as a tourism destination. As such, the proposed use of the site would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.											
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres		0 0 Medium N/A N/A The use of the site as protected open space is unlikely to have any significant impact on the vitality or viability of Trafford's town centres. As such, it is unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.											
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy			0 protected ope impact on the		N/A ly to have a sig	N/A nificant impact on th	ne social or environmental performance	of the economy. As such, it is unlikely					
Sustainability Summary	-												
protected open space by the Traffor such, the proposed use has the pot	d Greenspac ential to have	e Strategy (e a major po	2010). The p sitive impac	proposed use wou t on the objective	uld therefore re that relates to	sult in the creation protecting and enh	ty objectives. The site is located in an a of protected open space in an area tha nancing open space. The provision of t in sport and recreation, could also have	at is deficient in Local Open Space. A his open space could also have som					

heritage assets and it is uncertain whether the proposed use of the site would have a positive impact on this objective that relates to townscape character.

	Key for effects											
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain							

Site Address	Land to the rear of Manor Avenue, Sale West		
Site Reference	CFS07-1178-118		
Proposed Use	Public Open Space	Site Area	2.05 ha

Land to the rear of Manor	Avenue,	Sale We	st					
		Timescale	9			Nature of Eff	fect	
SA Objective	0 – 5	5 – 10	10+	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative,	Mitigation
	years	years	years				synergistic	
Social								
S1. Achieving a better balance	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and mix in the housing market	The use of objective.	the site as p	oublic open s	pace would not re	sult in the loss	of a previously iden	tified housing site and would be unlikely	to have any significant impact on the
S2. Improve accessibility for all	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
to services and facilities	The use of	the site as p	oublic open s	pace is unlikely to	have any sign	ificant impact on the	e objective.	
S3. Enhance transport	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
infrastructure; improve choice of				ic open space wo	uld be unlikely	to have a significant	t impact upon choice of travel mode. As s	such, it would be unlikely to have a
travel mode and quality of life to	significant	impact on th	e objective.					
all communities.					N1/A	N1/A		
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
the fear of crime			pact on the c		uid be unlikely	to have a significant	t impact upon crime, disorder and fear of	crime. As such, it would be unlikely
S5. Reduce poverty and social	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
exclusion							eration Area and the identification of the d be unlikely to have a significant impact	
S6. Encourage a sense of	0	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
community identity and welfare	The provis	ion of public	open space	could have a posi	tive impact on	community welfare a	and identity and the proposed use theref	ore has the potential to have a
and value diversity, improve	positive im	pact on the	objective.					
equity and equality of								
opportunity					N1/A	N1/A		
S7. Improve qualifications and	0 The identif	0		Medium	N/A	N/A	time and an the suplification and a bills of t	the negation As such it would be
skills of the resident population				on the objective.	uid de uniikely	to have a significant	t impact on the qualification and skills of	the population. As such, it would be
S8. Improve the health and,	0	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life	

Land to the rear of Manor	Avenue,	Sale We	st									
		Timescal	9			Nature of Ef	fect					
SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
inequalities in health of the population	positive im Strategy. T	The use of the site as public open space has the potential to provide enhanced opportunities for participation and sport and recreation and could therefore has positive impact on the objective, particularly as the site is located in an area that was classified as having a 'poor' quantity of open space by the Trafford Gree Strategy. There is however only a low level of certainty over this impact as the provision of public open space does not guarantee that local residents would participate in sport and recreation.										
S9. Protect and improve local	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
neighbourhood quality							. It would not however result in the impr any significant effects on the objective.	ovement of any neglected land/sites				
Environment	-											
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic	0	0	0	Low	N/A	N/A						
on the environment	have some	The site is located in an area that was classified as having a 'poor' quantity of open space by the Trafford Greenspace Strategy. The proposed use could therefore have some impact on traffic by reducing the need for local residents to travel in order to access public open space. Nevertheless, it is considered that any reduction in traffic is unlikely to be significant and, as such, the use of the site as public open space would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.										
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo-	0	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on image of the local area					
morphological features	The site is located in an area that was classified as having a 'poor' quantity of open space by the Trafford Greenspace Strategy. The proposed use would therefore result in the creation of public open space in an area that is deficient in Local Open Space. As such, the proposed use has the potential to have a major positive impact on the objective.											
E3. Reduce contributions to	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
climate change			e site as publ ct on the obj		uld be unlikely	to have a significan	t impact upon contributions to climate cl	nange. As such, it would be unlikely to				
E4. Reduce impact of climate	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
change				lic open space wo t on the objective.	uld be unlikely	to have a significan	t impact upon reducing the impacts of c	limate change. As such, it would be				
E5. Reduce the environmental	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
impacts of consumption and production			he site would fects on the d		e any significa	nt impact on the env	vironmental impacts of consumption and	production. As such, it is unlikely to				
E6. Conserve land resources and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
reduce land contamination							ase or the Trafford Contaminated Land nd and is unlikely to have a significant e	11 0				

Land to the rear of Manor	Avenue,	Sale We	st								
		Timescale	•			Nature of Eff	fect				
SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
E7. Protect and improve water	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
quality							ver result in the reclamation of a site that				
	the Contan	ninated Lanc	l Prioritisatio	n Mapping and ea	ach of the propo	osed uses of site is t	herefore unlikely to have a significant in	npact on water quality.			
E8. Protect and improve air	0	0	0	Low	N/A	N/A					
quality							ce by the Trafford Greenspace Strategy				
							for local residents to travel in order to ac				
	Nevertheless, it is considered that any reduction in traffic is unlikely to be significant and, as such, the use of the site as public open space would be unlikely to have any significant impact on the objective.										
	, ,		,								
E9. Protect and enhance the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
diversity and distinctiveness of					o have a signific	cant impact on the s	etting of any designated heritage assets	and is unlikely to have a significant			
landscape and townscape	impact on I	andscape or	townscape	character.							
character and cultural facilities											
Economic		-		I							
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
performance and sustainable							the loss of a previously identified emplo	yment site. As such, the proposed use			
economy to provide a powerful	of the site v	would be uni	ikely to have	any significant in	npact on the ob	jective.					
contribution to regional growth	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all		0	0				l ployment opportunities or help reduce e	conomia dianaritica. Ao quah it in			
residents particularly in areas of				act on the objectiv			pioyment opportunities of help reduce e	conomic dispanties. As such, it is			
disadvantage		nave any sig	nincant impo		с.						
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
as a tourism destination	The use of	the site as r	ublic open s		-	-	e image of Trafford as a tourism destinat	ion. As such, the proposed use of the			
				ignificant impact of				······			
EC4. Encourage the long term	0	0	0	Medium	N/Á	N/A					
sustainability of Trafford's Town	The use of	the site as p	ublic open s		have any sign		e vitality or viability of Trafford's town ce	ntres. As such, it is unlikely to have			
Centres			on the objecti		, ,		, ,	, ,			
EC5. Improve the social and	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
environmental performance of	The use of	the site as r	ublia anon a	naaa ia unlikalu te			a stat an ann ina mar antal a sufa mar an a f th	a acanomy. As such it is unlikely to			
		the site as p	ublic open s	pace is unlikely in	o nave a signific	cant impact on the s	ocial or environmental performance of th	ie economy. As such, it is unlikely to			

SA Objective	0 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Sustainability Summary The proposed use of the site as pul			have a sigr 2010). The p		the majority o	the sustainability of	ojectives. The site is located in an area	that was classified as having a 'poor'

			Key fo	r effects		
+	-+ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain

OS3 – Existin	
Option 1	Identify existing cemeteries that will be protected.
No alternative	options considered

OS3 – Existing Ceme	teries											
		Т	imesca	e			Nature of Eff	ect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
Social												
S1. Achieve a better	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
balance and mix in the	Protecting exi	sting ceme	eteries for	burial use	e and for opport	unities to en	hance the biodivers	ity value of these areas is unlikely	to have a significant impact upon achieving a			
housing market between availability and demand	better balance	etter balance and mix in the housing market. The policy is therefore unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.										
S2. Improve accessibility	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
for all to essential services									e a significant impact upon accessibility for all to			
and facilities	essential serv	ices and f	acilities ar	nd the poli	cy is therefore u	inlikely to ha	ave a significant imp	pact on the objective.				
S3. Enhance transport	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all	The proposed objective.	l policy is ι	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon transp	oort infrastructure ar	nd choice of travel mode. As such	, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the			
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
and the fear of crime		-	-	-		-		of crime. As such, it is unlikely to h	have a significant impact on the objective.			
S5. Reduce poverty and	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A	•				
social exclusion	The proposed	l policy is ι	unlikely to	have a sig	gnificant impact	upon pover	ty and social exclus	ion. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.			
S6. Encourage a sense of	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
community identity and	The proposed	l policy is ι	unlikely to	have a sig	gnificant impact	upon comm	unity identity and w	elfare. As such, it is unlikely to ha	ave a significant impact on the objective			
welfare and value												
diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity												
S7. Improve qualifications	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					

OS3 – Existing Ceme	teries								
		Т	imesca	le			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
and skills of the resident population	The proposed	policy is	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon qualif	ications and skills. A	As such, it is unlikely to have a sig	nificant impact on the objective.
S8. Improve the health	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and, inequalities in health of the population	The proposed	policy is	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon health	n. As such, it is unlik	ely to have a significant impact o	n the objective.
S9. Protect and improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
local neighbourhood	The proposed	policy is a	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon local i	neighbourhood qua	ity. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.
quality									
Environment									
E1. Reduce the effect of	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
traffic on the environment	The proposed	policy is i	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon traffic	. As such, it is unlike	ely to have a significant impact or	n the objective.
E2. Protect, enhance and	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on	
restore open space,								perceptions of the area.	
biodiversity, flora and									o their biodiversity value. The policy therefore has
fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	the potential to	o have a p	ositive im	pact on th	ne objective and	its objective	es of conserving and	d enhancing habitat diversity and	species.
E3. Reduce contributions	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
to climate change		Ũ	•	•				L hange As such it is unlikely to ha	ave a significant impact on the objective.
E4. Reduce impact of	Option 1			0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
climate change		Ŭ	•	•				limate change. As such, it is unlik	ely to have a significant impact on the objective.
E5. Reduce the	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A	 	
environmental impacts of consumption and production		policy is a	unlikely to	•				s of consumption and production.	As such, it is unlikely to have a significant
E6. Conserve land	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
resources and reduce land	The proposed	policy is i	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon land r	esources and conta	mination. As such, it is unlikely to	have a significant impact on the objective.
contamination							-		
E7. Protect and improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
water quality	The proposed	policy is i	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon water	quality. As such, it	is unlikely to have a significant im	pact on the objective.
E8. Protect and improve air	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

OS3 – Existing Ceme	teries											
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Eff	ect				
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
quality	The proposed	policy is u	Inlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon air qu	ality. As such, it is u	Inlikely to have a significant impa	ct on the objective.			
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.				
landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities		number of the existing cemeteries in Trafford contain designated heritage assets, such as the grade II listed Chapel at Sale and Brooklands Cemetery. The policy could erefore help protect the setting of these heritage assets and, as such, could have a positive impact on the objective.										
Economic	•	1				1		n				
EC1. Enhance Trafford's high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	The proposed objective.	Option 1 0 0 0 Medium N/A N/A A The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon Trafford's economic performance and economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
EC2. Reducing disparities by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	Option 1 The proposed	0 policy is u	0 Inlikely to	0 have a si	Medium gnificant impact	N/A upon reduc	N/A ing economic dispa	l rities. As such, it is unlikely to hav	e a significant impact on the objective.			
EC3. Enhance Trafford's image as a tourism destination	Option 1 The proposed objective.	0 policy is u	0 Inlikely to	0 have a si	Medium gnificant impact	N/A upon Traffc	N/A ord's image as a tou	rism destination. As such, it is unl	likely to have a significant impact on the			
EC4. Encourage the long term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	Option 1 The proposed objective.	0 policy is u	0 Inlikely to	0 have a si	Medium gnificant impact	N/A upon the su	N/A ustainability of Traffo	ord's town centres. As such, it is ι	unlikely to have a significant impact on the			
EC5. Improve the social and environmental performance of the economy	Option 1				Medium gnificant impact	N/A upon the so	N/A pocial and environme	ntal performance of Trafford's eco	onomy. As such, it is unlikely to have a			
Sustainability Summary												

OS3 – Existing Cemeteries												
		T	Timescale Nature of Effect									
SA Objective Option 0-5 5-10 10+ Certainty Scale Permanence Secondary, cumulative, synergistic Mitigation												

		Key fo	r effects]
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain	

OS4: New Cemeteries									
Option 1	Extend Dunham cemetery to provide for the whole of Trafford								
Option 2	Extend Dunham to provide for burial needs in the south and provide a new cemetery at Carrington for the north.								

OS4: New Cemeteries	\$												
		Т	imesca	е			Nature of Eff	ect					
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
Social	-	-											
S1. Achieve a better	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
balance and mix in the	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
housing market between availability and demand	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market and, as such, both options are unlikely to have a significant effect on the objective.												
S2. Improve accessibility	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
for all to essential services	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
and facilities		A cemetery is unlikely to be classified as an essential service or facility and, as such, the approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon a cessibility for all to essential services and facilities. Consequently, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											
						· · ·		ely to have a significant impact or	n the objective.				
S3. Enhance transport	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		of travel mode. As each, both antians are unlikely				
quality of life to all communities.	to have a sign					ave a signini	cant impact upon tra	ansport infrastructure and choice	of travel mode. As such, both options are unlikely				
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
and the fear of crime	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
	The approach significant imp				is unlikely to ha	ave a signifi	cant impact upon cr	ime, disorder and fear of crime. A	s such, both options are unlikely to have a				
S5. Reduce poverty and	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
social exclusion	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
			ving new c	emeteries	is unlikely to ha	ave a signifi	cant impact upon po	overty and social exclusion. As su	ch, both options are unlikely to have a significant				
	impact on the	objective.											
S6. Encourage a sense of	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
community identity and	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						

OS4: New Cemeteries	5										
		Т	imescal	le			Nature of Eff	ect			
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation		
welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	The approach significant imp		•		s is unlikely to h	ave a signifi	cant impact upon co	ommunity identity and welfare. As	s such, both options are unlikely to have a		
S7. Improve qualifications and skills of the resident	Option 1 Option 2	0	0 0	0	Medium Medium	N/A N/A	N/A N/A				
population	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
S8. Improve the health	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
and, inequalities in health	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
of the population	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
S9. Protect and improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
local neighbourhood	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
quality			ring new c	emeteries	s is unlikely to h	ave a signifi	cant impact upon lo	cal neighbourhood quality. As su	ch, both options are unlikely to have a significant		
	impact on the	objective.									
Environment		1						1			
E1. Reduce the effect of	Option 1	-			Medium	Local	Long term		Improve public transport connections to the		
traffic on the environment	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term		locations.		
									ion of cemetery facilities in either location is likely		
									the objective. The Dunham cemetery is located in		
									cemetery is the only additional cemetery provision gh to this facility. As such, this option could have		
									city. By contrast, the second option will spread		
							cant impact on the c		city. By contrast, the second option will spread		
E2. Protect, enhance and	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
restore open space,	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
biodiversity, flora and		•	rina new a	-				pen space, biodiversity and geolo	gical features. As such, both options are unlikely		
fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	to have a sign					a e a e.g		,			
E3. Reduce contributions	Option 1	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts	Improve public transport connections to the		

OS4: New Cemeteries	;								
	Timescale			Nature of Effect					
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
to climate change	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term	associated with climate change	locations.
	Both potential locations for new cemetery facilities are situated away from the main urban areas of Old Trafford, Stretford, Sale and Altrincham. As such, both options are likely to generate a significant number of trips by private car and have the potential to have a negative impact on the objective.								
E4. Reduce impact of	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
climate change	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon reducing the impacts of climate change. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E5. Reduce the	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
environmental impacts of	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
consumption and production	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant direct impact upon the environmental impacts of consumption and production options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E6. Conserve land	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
resources and reduce land	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
contamination	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon land resources and land contamination. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E7. Protect and improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
water quality	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
	The approach to identifying new cemeteries is unlikely to have a significant impact upon water quality. As such, both options are unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.								
E8. Protect and improve air	Option 1	-			Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health,	Improve public transport connections to the
quality	Option 2	-	-	-	Medium	Local	Long term	particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses	locations.
	Both potential locations for new cemetery facilities are located away from the main urban areas of Old Trafford, Stretford, Sale and Altrincham and the provision of cemetery facilities in either location is likely to result in increased traffic in their respective areas. Both options therefore have the potential to have some negative impact on local air quality however option 1 has the potential to have a more significant negative impact on this objective as it would result in people traffic from across the Borough to one location. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as in the absence of a formal Air Quality Impact Assessment it is uncertain whether any increase in traffic generated would be sufficient to have a significant impact on air quality.								
E9. Protect and enhance	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

OS4: New Cemeteries	5								
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Effe	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
the diversity and	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
distinctiveness of					s is unlikely to ha	ave a signifi	cant impact upon to	wnscape and landscape characte	r. As such, both options are unlikely to have a
landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	significant imp	ect on the	objective						
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
high performance and	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
sustainable economy to						ave a signifi	cant impact upon Tr	afford's economic performance a	nd economy. As such, both options are unlikely
provide a powerful	to have a sign	ificant imp	act on the	e objective	9.				
contribution to regional growth									
EC2. Reducing disparities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
by releasing the potential	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	The approach on the objectiv		ving new c	emeteries	s is unlikely to ha	ave a signifi	cant impact upon re	ducing disparities. As such, both	options are unlikely to have a significant impact
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
image as a tourism	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
destination	The approach a significant in		•		is unlikely to ha	ave a signifi	cant impact upon Tr	afford's image as a tourism destin	nation. As such, both options are unlikely to have
EC4. Encourage the long	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
term sustainability of	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
Trafford's Town Centres	The approach have a signific				s is unlikely to ha	ave a signifi	cant impact upon the	e sustainability of Trafford's town	centres. As such, both options are unlikely to
EC5. Improve the social	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and environmental	Option 2	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
performance of the							cant impact upon th	e social and environmental perfor	mance of Trafford's economy. As such, both
economy	options are un	likely to h	ave a sigr	ificant im	pact on the obje	ctive.			
Sustainability Summary									

		Ti	Timescale Nature of Effect							
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation	
number of trips by private car. change; and improving air qua	As such, both ality. However, t npact is likely to	options ha by just focu become ir	ive the poussing ne ncreasing	otential to w cemete ly significa	have a negative ry facilities in o ant over the long	e impact on ne location	the objectives of rein Trafford, option	rd, Sale and Altrincham. As such educing the effects of traffic on th 1 is likely to have a more signific icilities elsewhere in the Borough	ne environment; reducing contrib ant negative impact on the obje	outions to climat

 Key for effects

 ++ major positive;
 + minor positive;
 0 neutral;
 - minor negative;
 - major negative;
 ? uncertain

OS5 – Loss of Open Space								
Option 1	Include a policy to protect areas of open space, particularly in areas of deficiency							
No alternative op	otions considered							

OS5 – Loss of Open S	Space								
		Т	imesca	е			Nature of Effe	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
Social		•							•
S1. Achieve a better	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	The proposed on the objectiv		unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon achiev	ving a better balanc	e and mix in the housing market.	As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
and facilities									reational open space of adequate area and tive by supporting the retention of these local
S3. Enhance transport	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and quality of life to all communities.	The proposed objective.	policy is ι	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon transp	oort infrastructure ar	nd choice of travel mode. As such	i, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the
S4. Reduce crime, disorder	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and the fear of crime	The proposed	policy is u	unlikely to	have a sig	gnificant impact	upon crime,	disorder and fear of	of crime. As such, it is unlikely to I	have a significant impact on the objective.
S5. Reduce poverty and	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
social exclusion	The proposed	policy is u	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon pover	ty and social exclus	ion. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
welfare and value diversity, improve equity	quality and in	an approp	riate loca	tion is mad	de available. It i	s recognised	that the provision of		reational open space of adequate area and e impact on physical and mental well-being. The
and equality of opportunity	policy therefor	e has the	potential	to have a	positive impact	upon comm	unity welfare.		

OS5 – Loss of Open	Space												
		Т	imescal	е			Nature of Eff	ect					
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
S7. Improve qualifications	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
and skills of the resident population	The proposed	The proposed policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon qualifications and skills. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											
S8. Improve the health and, inequalities in healthOption 1	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life					
of the population	quality and in	an approp n informal	oriate locat sport and	tion is ma recreation	de available. Co n. However, the	onsequently,	, the policy has the	potential to have a major positive	reational open space of adequate area and impact on the objective by providing support for n of sports facilities does not guarantee that				
S9. Protect and improve	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
local neighbourhood quality	The proposed	l policy is ı	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon local	neighbourhood qua	lity. As such, it is unlikely to have	a significant impact on the objective.				
Environment													
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.					
	access open s	space and noise. The	, as such, ere is how	the policy	/ could have a p	ositive impa	act on the objective	and its sub-objectives relating to r	d resident's having to travel further in order to nanaging traffic flows and improving levels of n traffic flows resulting from the loss of areas of				
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space,	Option 1	++	++	++	Medium	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.					
biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	quality and in	an approp	riate locat	tion is ma	de available. It t	herefore ha	s the potential to ha	bace in the area or alternative rec ave a major positive impact on the	reational open space of adequate area and objective of protecting open space and its sub- the elements of the objective that relate to				
E3. Reduce contributions to climate change	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts associated with climate change					

OS5 – Loss of Open S	Space								
		Т	'imesca	le			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
	access open s	space whi	ch could r	esult in in	creased greenh	ouse gas en	nissions associated		d resident's having to travel further in order to only a low level of certainty about this impact as it n climate change.
E4. Reduce impact of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term		
climate change								poort the objective by retaining are as the potential to have a positive	eas of open space which can help moderate the impact on the objective.
E5. Reduce the	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
environmental impacts of consumption and production	The proposed impact on the			have a si	gnificant impact	upon the er	nvironmental impact	s of consumption and production.	As such, it is unlikely to have a significant
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Borough wide	Long term		
contamination		the area	or the rele	ease of a s	small part of the				d for development unless there is a surplus of e rest of the area. The policy therefore has the
E7. Protect and improve water quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on biodiversity.	
							ff and minimise incic ve impact on the obj		r flooding which can have a detrimental impact
E8. Protect and improve air quality	Option 1	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health, particularly among those who suffer from respiratory illnesses	
	access open s impact as it is	space whi uncertain	ch could r whether a	esult in in any increa	creased vehicul se in traffic flow	ar emissions	s that have a detrime rom the loss of a lei	se facilities could result in Trafford ental impact on air quality. There sure facility would have a significa	d resident's having to travel further in order to is however only a low level of certainty about this ant impact on air quality. Parts of Trafford are quality by removing some pollutants from the air.
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and distinctiveness of	Option 1	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on sense of place and perceptions of the area.	

OS5 – Loss of Open S	Space								
		Т	imescal	le			Nature of Eff	ect	
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	Park in Altring to the charact	ham is on er and set	the Regis	ster of Par sted struc	ks and Gardens	of Special e grade II lis	Historic Interest. In a	addition, there are a number of ins	in Stretford is a conservation area and Stamford stances where areas of open space are integral by providing protection for areas of open space,
Economic									
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	I he proposed objective.	I policy is i	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon Traffo	ord's economic perfo	ormance and economy. As such, i	t is unlikely to have a significant impact on the
EC2. Reducing disparities	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	The proposed	policy is u	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon reduc	ing economic dispa	rities. As such, it is unlikely to hav	e a significant impact on the objective.
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
image as a tourism destination	The proposed objective.	l policy is ι	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon Traffo	ord's image as a tou	rism destination. As such, it is unl	ikely to have a significant impact on the
EC4. Encourage the long	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	The proposed objective.	l policy is ı	unlikely to	have a si	gnificant impact	upon the su	ustainability of Traffo	ord's town centres. As such, it is u	nlikely to have a significant impact on the
EC5. Improve the social	Option 1	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
and environmental performance of the economy	The proposed significant imp	• •	•		gnificant impact	upon the so	ocial and environme	ntal performance of Trafford's eco	pnomy. As such, it is unlikely to have a
Sustainability Summary	-								

OS5 – Loss of Open S	Space								
		Timescale					Nature of Eff		
SA Objective	Option	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
recreation, the policy has the p	otential to have	a major p	ositive im	pact on th	e objective rela	ting to healt	h. The policy would	also have a major positive effect	ng support for participation in informal sport and on the objective that seeks to protect open space tive effect on the objectives relating to access to

The policy also has the potential to have a positive effect on several environmental objectives. Specifically, as the loss of areas of open space could result in Trafford resident's having to travel further in order to access these facilities, a policy which provides protection to areas of open space has the potential to have some positive impact on the objectives relating to reducing the effects of traffic on the environment; reducing contributions to climate change; and improving air quality. The retention of areas of open space could also have a positive effect on the objective of reducing the impacts of climate change by helping to moderate higher summer temperatures and reduce surface water run-off. The policy could also help protect and enhance the setting of a number of heritage assets and therefore has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective that relates to landscape and townscape character. The policy would also have some positive impact on the objectives concerned with conserving land resources and improving water quality.

The proposed policy would not have a negative or uncertain impact on any of the objectives.

services and facilities; and community welfare.

Key for effects										
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain					

Appendix V

Green Belt and Other Protected Open Land

	Т	imescale	ļ.			Nature of E	ffect					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
Social							• •					
S1. Achieve a better	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
balance and mix in the housing market between availability and demand	have a signi	ficant impac itable and d	et upon the provide the provided the provided structure of the provide	provision of hous sites in the Boro	sing, particula	rly given that Traffor	rd's Strategic Housing Land Availability	oment. Nevertheless, the policy is unlikely to Assessment has demonstrated that there are een Belt. Consequently, the policy is unlikely to				
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services	+	+	+	Low	Borough wide	Long term	Secondary impacts on quality of life and social exclusion					
and facilities	ensure that	By protecting land in the Green Belt, the policy increases the likelihood that new development will be directed to the existing urban area. The policy could therefore help ensure that services and facilities are directed towards more accessible locations within the Borough and thereby have a positive impact on the objective. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as the policy itself does not seek to ensure that new development is directed to accessible locations within the urban area.										
S3. Enhance transport	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
infrastructure, improve choice of travel mode and	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon transport i	nfrastructure and cl	noice of travel mode. As such, it is unlike	ely to have a significant impact on the objective.				
quality of life to all communities.												
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
communities.	Ŭ	0	Ŭ				me. As such, it is unlikely to have a sigr					
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime S5. Reduce poverty and	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up Medium	oon crime, dis N/A	order and fear of cri N/A		ificant impact on the objective.				
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up Medium ificant impact up	oon crime, dis N/A oon poverty ar	order and fear of cri N/A id social exclusion.	me. As such, it is unlikely to have a sigr As such, it is unlikely to have a significa	ificant impact on the objective.				
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion S6. Encourage a sense of	The policy is 0 The policy is 0	s unlikely to 0 s unlikely to 0	have a sign 0 have a sign 0	ificant impact up Medium ificant impact up Medium	oon crime, dis N/A oon poverty ar N/A	order and fear of cri N/A Id social exclusion. N/A	As such, it is unlikely to have a significa	ificant impact on the objective.				
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity	The policy is 0 The policy is 0	s unlikely to 0 s unlikely to 0	have a sign 0 have a sign 0	ificant impact up Medium ificant impact up Medium	oon crime, dis N/A oon poverty ar N/A	order and fear of cri N/A Id social exclusion. N/A		ificant impact on the objective.				
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity and equality of opportunity	The policy is O The policy is O The policy is	s unlikely to O s unlikely to O s unlikely to	have a sign O have a sign O have a sign	ificant impact up Medium ificant impact up Medium ificant impact up	oon crime, dis N/A pon poverty ar N/A pon communit	order and fear of cri N/A Id social exclusion. N/A y identity and welfar	As such, it is unlikely to have a significa	ificant impact on the objective.				
communities. S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and welfare and value diversity, improve equity	The policy is 0 The policy is 0 The policy is 0	s unlikely to O s unlikely to O s unlikely to O	have a sign O have a sign O have a sign 0	ificant impact up Medium ificant impact up Medium ificant impact up Medium	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	order and fear of cri N/A Id social exclusion. N/A y identity and welfar N/A	As such, it is unlikely to have a significa	ificant impact on the objective.				

GB1 – Green Belt								
	T	imescale)			Nature of E	ffect	
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
and, inequalities in health of the population	Outdoor Sp	orts Facilitie tly, the polic	s study (200)9), there are se	veral outdoor	sports facilities in th	he Borough that are within the Green B	erley Wedge. In addition, as shown in the Trafford elt and which would be protected by the policy. icipation in, and access to, sport and physical
S9. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
local neighbourhood quality	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact u	oon local neig	hbourhood quality.	As such, it is unlikely to have a significa	ant impact on the objective.
Environment								
E1. Reduce the effect of traffic on the environment	+	+	+	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.	
								nent being directed to the urban area which reases the viability of public transport. The policy
	provides gre could there identified by	eater scope by have a po the Greater	to manage a ositive impac r Mancheste	and reduce traff ct on the objecti er LDF Transpor	ic flows throug ve. It is howev t Modelling Re	gh the development ver recognised that t eport. In addition, th	of a more compact urban form that incr the resultant development in urban area ne policy does not in itself ensure that do	nent being directed to the urban area which reases the viability of public transport. The policy as could exacerbate existing congestion as evelopment is directed to the most accessible there is only a low level of certainty about this.
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space.	provides gre could there identified by	eater scope by have a po the Greater	to manage a ositive impac r Mancheste	and reduce traff ct on the objecti er LDF Transpor	ic flows throug ve. It is howev t Modelling Re	gh the development ver recognised that t eport. In addition, th	of a more compact urban form that incr the resultant development in urban area ne policy does not in itself ensure that do a positive impact on the objective but Secondary impacts on perceptions	reases the viability of public transport. The policy as could exacerbate existing congestion as evelopment is directed to the most accessible
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	provides gre could theret identified by locations wi + Although no	eater scope by have a po the Greater thin the urba + t all land in	to manage a psitive impace r Mancheste an area. The + the Green E	and reduce traff ct on the objection DF Transpore policy would the Medium Belt has ecologic	ic flows throug ve. It is howev t Modelling R ierefore have Local cal value, there	the development ver recognised that the eport. In addition, the the potential to have Long term e are a number of d	of a more compact urban form that incr the resultant development in urban area ne policy does not in itself ensure that do e a positive impact on the objective but Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area. esignated natural assets within the Gre	reases the viability of public transport. The policy as could exacerbate existing congestion as evelopment is directed to the most accessible
restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features E3. Reduce contributions	provides gre could thereb identified by locations wi + Although no protection b	eater scope by have a po the Greater thin the urba + t all land in	to manage a psitive impace r Mancheste an area. The + the Green E	and reduce traff ct on the objection DF Transpore policy would the Medium Belt has ecologic	ic flows throug ve. It is howev t Modelling R ierefore have Local cal value, there	the development ver recognised that the eport. In addition, the the potential to have Long term e are a number of d	of a more compact urban form that incr the resultant development in urban area ne policy does not in itself ensure that do a positive impact on the objective but Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area. esignated natural assets within the Gre impact on the element of the objective Secondary impacts associated with	reases the viability of public transport. The policy as could exacerbate existing congestion as evelopment is directed to the most accessible there is only a low level of certainty about this.
restore open space, biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	 provides gre could thereful identified by locations wi + Although no protection b fauna. + The policy v being direct viability of p exacerbate 	eater scope by have a por the Greater thin the urbat + t all land in y the policy. + vould restric ed to the urb ublic transport existing con he most acc	to manage a positive impact r Manchester an area. The the Green E The policy + t urban spra pan area wh ort. The poli gestion as i possible loca	and reduce traff t on the objective LDF Transpore policy would the Medium Belt has ecologic therefore has the Low awl, which can re- nich provides gre- cy could therebit dentified by the	ic flows throug ve. It is howev t Modelling R ierefore have Local cal value, there e potential to Local esult in unsus eater scope to y have a posit Greater Mano	the development ver recognised that if eport. In addition, the Long term e are a number of d have some positive Long term tainable patterns of manage and reduc ive impact on the of chester LDF Transpo	of a more compact urban form that increate policy does not in itself ensure that doe a positive impact on the objective but Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area. esignated natural assets within the Greet impact on the element of the objective with climate change travel and associated climate change eraffic flows through the development bjective. It is however recognised that the ort Modelling Report. In addition, the positive and associated climate, the positive is the positive in the positive intervent of the objective.	reases the viability of public transport. The policy as could exacerbate existing congestion as evelopment is directed to the most accessible there is only a low level of certainty about this.

GB1 – Green Belt								
	Т	imescale				Nature of E	ffect	
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation
climate change								ange by providing shade and shelter from higher a some positive impact on the objective.
E5. Reduce the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
environmental impacts of consumption and production	The policy is objective.	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact u	oon the enviro	nmental impacts of	consumption and production. As such,	it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the
E6. Conserve land resources and reduce land	++	++	++	Medium	More than local	Long term		
contamination				ve impact on the			It. The policy would therefore help con	serve this greenfield land for the plan period and
E7. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		
water quality	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact or	n water quality	. As such, it is unlik	ely to have a significant impact on the	objective.
E8. Protect and improve air quality	?	?	?	Low	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on health	Other policies in the Core Strategy will ensure that measures are taken to direct investment to accessible locations and to increase the usage of public transport.
	could exace areas, which	rbate existir n include are hether conce	ng congestic eas that are entrating de	on as identified to already designative velopment in the	by the Greater ated as Air Qu	Manchester LDF T ality Management A including areas des	ransport Modelling Report and thereby Areas. The policy would therefore have ignated as AQMAs, is a better approac	nised that the resultant investment in urban areas have a negative impact on air quality in these an uncertain impact on the objective as it is the for protecting air quality than spreading the
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.	
distinctiveness of	The policy w	vill provide lo	ong-term pro	ptection against	development	that would have a c	letrimental impact on the diversity and	distinctiveness of landscapes in the Green Belt. It
landscape and townscape	would also p	oreserve the	setting of a	number of con	servation area	s in Trafford, includ	ing those in Dunham Town, Dunham V	Voodhouses and Warburton, and the setting of
character and cultural								ne most historically significant landscapes in the
facilities	Borough by	the Trafford	Urban Hist	oric Landscape	Characterisat	ion Report (2008). 1	The policy would therefore have a majo	r positive impact on the objective.
Economic								
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A		

GB1 – Green Belt										
	T	imescale				Nature of E	ffect			
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation		
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	The policy would restrict development in the Green Belt which could restrict the amount of land available for employment development. Nevertheless, the Core Strategy has indicated that there is likely to be sufficient employment land in the Borough without releasing land from the Green Belt. Consequently, the policy is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.									
EC2. Reducing disparities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	Ĵ	economic disparities	s. As such, it is unlikely to have a signifi	cant impact on the objective.		
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
image as a tourism destination	The policy i	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon Trafford's	image as a tourism	destination. As such, it is unlikely to ha	ve a significant impact on the objective.		
EC4. Encourage the long	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon the sustai	nability of Trafford's	town centres. As such, it is unlikely to l	nave a significant impact on the objective.		
EC5. Improve the social	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A				
and environmental performance of the economy	The policy is on the object		have a sign	ificant impact up	oon the social	and environmental	performance of Trafford's economy. As	s such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact		
Sustainability Summary										
the objective that relates to con number of heritage assets, me designated natural assets, the spaces that provide important impacts of climate change.	nserving land ean that the p policy could areas for rec	resources. olicy could a also have so reation, suc	The protecti also have a ome positive h as Timpe	ion of these area major positive in e effect on the o rley Wedge, and	as, and the la npact on the bjective of pr d could also l	ndscape features w objective relating to otecting biodiversity nave some positive	ithin them, together with the associated landscape and townscape character. . The policy could also have a positive effect on the objectives of improving a	I could therefore have a major positive impact on protection that would be given to the setting of a n addition, due to the proximity of these areas to impact on health by affording protection to open ccess to services and facilities and reducing the		
contributions to climate change	ge. It is howe ses the level o	ver recognie of certainty t	sed that the hat the polic	e resultant deve	lopment in u	rban areas could e	xacerbate existing congestion as iden	e effect of traffic on the environment and reducing ified by the Greater Manchester LDF Transport ther the resultant focus on the urban area would		

	GB2 – Other Protected Open Land										
	Timescale					Nature of Ef	ffect				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
Social		-			-						
S1. Achieve a better	0	0	+	Low	Local	Long term					
balance and mix in the	The policy p	rovides prot	ection for a	reas of open lan	id to the south	of Partington and C	Carrington but, in accordance with Core	Strategy policy R4, does not preclude the long			
housing market between								nlikely to have a significant impact on the			
availability and demand								sufficient suitable and developable sites in the			
								t does not jeopardise the potential use of these			
								pact on the objective in the long term. There is			
		y a low leve					ether these sites will be needed to meet	Trafford's long term development needs.			
S2. Improve accessibility for all to essential services	0 The policy is	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A	A a angle it is unlikely to have a sign	figent import on the chiestice			
and facilities	The policy is	s unlikely to	nave a sign	mcant impact up	on access to	services and facilitie	es. As such, it is unlikely to have a sign	incant impact on the objective.			
S3. Enhance transport	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
infrastructure, improve	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon transport infrastructure and choice of travel mode. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
choice of travel mode and											
quality of life to all											
communities.	0	0	0	Ma alloura	N1/A	N1/A					
S4. Reduce crime, disorder and the fear of crime	0 The policy is	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A	na Aaavah itia walikakuta hawa a aiw	if and impact on the chiective			
			nave a sign				me. As such, it is unlikely to have a sig				
S5. Reduce poverty and social exclusion	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A	As such, it is unlikely to have a significa	ant impact on the chicative			
							As such, it is unlikely to have a significa				
S6. Encourage a sense of community identity and	0 The policy is	0 uplikaly ta		Medium	N/A	N/A	a Aa ayah it ia yalikaly ta haya a ajani	ficent impact on the objective			
welfare and value	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon community identity and welfare. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
diversity, improve equity											
and equality of opportunity											
S7. Improve qualifications	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
and skills of the resident	•	•	•				ich, it is unlikely to have a significant im	pact on the objective.			
population		- ,		- FF	1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
S8. Improve the health	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					

GB2 – Other Protecte	B2 – Other Protected Open Land										
	Timescale					Nature of E					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation			
and, inequalities in health of the population	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon health. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.										
S9. Protect and improve local neighbourhood quality	0 The policy is	0 s unlikely to	0 have a sign	Medium ificant impact up	N/A oon local neigl	N/A nbourhood quality.	As such, it is unlikely to have a significa	ant impact on the objective.			
Environment											
E1. Reduce the effect of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
traffic on the environment	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon traffic. As	such, it is unlikely to	o have a significant impact on the obje	ctive.			
E2. Protect, enhance and restore open space,	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.				
biodiversity, flora and fauna, geological and geo- morphological features	assets. Con	The policy provides protection to areas of open land to the south of Partington and Carrington. Both of these areas are located in close proximity to designated natural assets. Consequently, by protecting these areas from development, the policy has the potential to have some positive impact on the element of the objective that relates to protecting biodiversity, flora and fauna.									
E3. Reduce contributions	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
to climate change	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon contributio	ons to climate chang	ge. As such, it is unlikely to have a sign	ificant impact on the objective.			
E4. Reduce impact of	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
climate change	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant effect upo	on reducing th	e impacts of climate	e change. As such, it is unlikely to have	e a significant impact on the objective.			
E5. Reduce the	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
environmental impacts of consumption and production	The policy is objective.	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon the enviro	nmental impacts of	consumption and production. As such,	it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the			
E6. Conserve land	++	++	++	Medium	Local	Long term					
resources and reduce land contamination		The policy provides protection to areas of open land to the south of Partington and Carrington. The policy would therefore help conserve this greenfield land for the plan period and would therefore have a major positive impact on the objective.									
E7. Protect and improve	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
water quality	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact or	n water quality	. As such, it is unlik	ely to have a significant impact on the	objective.			
E8. Protect and improve air	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A					
quality	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon contributio	ons to climate chang	ge. As such, it is unlikely to have a sign	ificant impact on the objective.			
E9. Protect and enhance the diversity and	+	+	+	Medium	Local	Long term	Secondary impacts on perceptions of the area.				

GB2 – Other Protected Open Land												
	Timescale					Nature of Ef	ffect					
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Secondary, cumulative, synergistic	Mitigation				
distinctiveness of landscape and townscape character and cultural facilities	The policy provides protection to areas of open land to the south of Partington and Carrington. By protecting these areas for the plan period the policy could have some positive impact on the objective of protecting the landscape character of these areas.											
Economic												
EC1. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
high performance and sustainable economy to provide a powerful contribution to regional growth	I he policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon Trafford's	economic performa	nce and economy. As such, it is unlikel	y to have a significant impact on the objective.				
EC2. Reducing disparities	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
by releasing the potential of all residents particularly in areas of disadvantage	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon reducing (economic disparities	As such, it is unlikely to have a signifi	cant impact on the objective.				
EC3. Enhance Trafford's	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
image as a tourism destination	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon Trafford's	image as a tourism	destination. As such, it is unlikely to ha	ive a significant impact on the objective.				
EC4. Encourage the long	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
term sustainability of Trafford's Town Centres	The policy is	s unlikely to	have a sign	ificant impact up	oon the sustai	nability of Trafford's	town centres. As such, it is unlikely to	have a significant impact on the objective.				
EC5. Improve the social	0	0	0	Medium	N/A	N/A						
and environmental performance of the economy	The policy is unlikely to have a significant impact upon the social and environmental performance of Trafford's economy. As such, it is unlikely to have a significant impact on the objective.											
Sustainability Summary												

GB2 – Other Protected Open Land										
	Т	imescale				Nature of Ef				
SA Objective	0-5 years	5-10 years	10+ years	Certainty	Scale	Permanence	Mitigation			
The policy would have no significant impact on the overwhelming majority of the objectives. It would lead to protection of areas of greenfield land to the south of Partington and Carrington and could therefore have a major positive impact on the objective that relates to conserving land resources. The protection of these areas could also have a beneficial impact on the local landscape character in this area could therefore have a positive impact on the objective relating to landscape character. In addition, due to the proximity of these areas to designated natural assets, the policy could also have some positive effect on the objective of protecting biodiversity.										
The protection given to these areas would not preclude the long term development of these sites if they are needed for meeting a future, as yet, unquantified need. The policy is therefore unlikely to have a significant impact on the provision of housing in the short and medium term. However, by ensuring that development does not jeopardise the potential future use of these sites to meet the Borough's housing needs, the policy has the potential to have a positive impact on the objective relating to achieving a better balance and mix in the housing market in the long term. There is however only a low level of certainty about this impact as it is presently unknown whether these sites will be needed to meet Trafford's long term development needs.										
The policy would not have a ne	gative or unc	ertain impac	t on any of	the objectives.						

Key for effects										
++ major positive;	+ minor positive;	0 neutral;	 minor negative; 	 – major negative; 	? uncertain					